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Graphs of groups were first introduced by Jean-Pierre Serre in his book entitled *Arbres, Amalgame, SL2* (1977), whose first English translation was in 1980 [5]. In 1993, Hyman Bass wrote a paper [1] which discussed such concepts in the category of Graphs of Groups as morphisms, fundamental groups, and infinite covers. Hence, this area of geometric group theory is typically referred to as Bass-Serre Theory. The contents of this dissertation lie within this broad area of study. The main focus of the research is to try to apply to the category of Graphs of Groups what John Stallings did in the category of Graphs [6]. In that paper, he explored in graphs a vast number of topics such as pullbacks, paths, stars, coverings, and foldings. The goal of this dissertation is to apply many of those concepts to the category of Graphs of Groups. In this work, we develop our notion of paths, links, maps of graphs of groups, and coverings. We then explore the resultant path-lifting properties.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

We begin in Chapter 2 with listing the basic graph theory definitions and conventions that underly our work throughout the remainder of the paper. Upon completion of our basic graph theory discussion, we give the definition of graphs of groups that we will employ along with a discussion of the canonical example of graphs of groups, a group acting on a graph. The chapter concludes with a definition of the fundamental groupoid of a graph of groups and Proposition 2.4 which states that the map $E(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow \pi(\Gamma, A)$ given by $[aeb] \mapsto aeb$ is injective.

In Chapter 3, we turn our attention toward maps of graphs of groups, which we define simply as homorphisms of the fundamental groupoids of graphs of groups in Definition 3.1. We then explore the necessary structure embedded in a map of graphs of groups. This work is primarily found in Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4, where we recover Bass’ notion of a map of graphs of groups.

The defining of paths in a graph of groups and the notion of homotopy of paths are the main topics explored in Chapter 4. Paths are defined in Definition 4.1. From there, we discuss round-trips, elementary reductions, and homotopy. Properties preserved under homotopy are then discussed and the chapter concludes with a proof that every homotopy class contains a unique reduced path.

In Chapter 5, we start by defining our notion of a link in Definition 5.1. From there, we define a covering in Definition 5.2 and proceed to delve into various path-lifting conditions and properties. These include the unique path-lifting property stated
in Theorem 5.5 and the homotopy-lifting property found in Theorem 5.7. The concepts of conjugates of maps of graphs of groups, equivalence of coverings, and the existence of coverings round out the chapter.

Chapter 6 begins with the $\#$-construction. This is a necessary step in the proof of Theorem 5.10 which states that if $F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)$ is a covering map, $G: (\Sigma, C) \to (\Delta, B)$ is a map of graphs of groups, where $\Sigma$ is a connected graph, and $u, v$ are vertices of $\Gamma, \Sigma$ such that $f(u) = g(v)$, then there exists a map $\tilde{G}: (\Sigma, C) \to (\Gamma, A)$ such that $\tilde{g}(v) = u$ and $F\tilde{G}$ is conjugate to $G$ if and only if $[G \pi(\Sigma, C, v)]^{b_0} \subseteq F \pi(\Gamma, A, u)$ for some $b_0 \in B_{f(u)} = B_{g(v)}$. Furthermore, the theorem states that if $\tilde{G}$ exists, it is unique up to conjugacy. The chapter concludes with a detailed proof of the theorem.

Covering transformations of maps of graphs of groups are defined in Definition 7.1 at the outset of Chapter 7. This is followed by Theorem ??, which deals with the existence and uniqueness of covering transformations. From there, in Lemma ??, we see the relationship between regular coverings of a graph of groups and regular coverings of the $\#$-construction. The chapter concludes with Theorem 7.4 which states that if $F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)$ is a regular covering of connected graphs of groups, then the group of covering transformations is isomorphic to the quotient group $\pi(\Delta, B, f(v))/F \pi(\Gamma, A, v)$ for any vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$.

In Chapter 8, we conclude with a generalized Bass-Serre theory. Along the way, we discuss covering maps associated to group actions. Then, in Theorem 8.1, the relationship between quotient graphs of groups $(\Gamma/H, H\sigma)$ and $(\Gamma/G, G\sigma)$, where $H \leq G$ and $G$ is acting on $\Gamma$, is explored. The main theorem of Bass-Serre Theory is then stated in Corollary 8.3 with a subsequent discussion of the uniqueness of the associated covering and a proof of the existence of coverings.
CHAPTER 2

PRELIMINARIES

The notion of a graph that we prefer to use is more or less standard. Namely, a graph \( \Gamma \) consists of two disjoint sets \( V(\Gamma) \) and \( E(\Gamma) \), two functions \( s, t : E(\Gamma) \to V(\Gamma) \), and a fix-point free involution on \( E(\Gamma) \) denoted by \( e \mapsto \bar{e} \) satisfying the following conditions: \( s(\bar{e}) = t(e) \) and \( t(\bar{e}) = s(e) \) for all \( e \in E(\Gamma) \). The elements of \( V(\Gamma) \) are called vertices. An element \( e \in E(\Gamma) \) is called a (directed) edge; the vertices \( s(e) \) and \( t(e) \) are called the source and target of \( e \), respectively; and the directed edge \( \bar{e} \) is called the reverse or inverse of \( e \). (By assumption, \( \bar{\bar{e}} = e \).

A map of graphs \( f : \Gamma \to \Delta \) consists of two functions

\[
f : V(\Gamma) \to V(\Delta) \quad \text{and} \quad f : E(\Gamma) \to E(\Delta)
\]

(both denoted by \( f \)) that preserve the source, target, and reverse of each edge \( e \in E(\Gamma) \).

A non-trivial path in a graph \( \Gamma \) is an element \( e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \ (n \geq 1) \) of the free monoid \( E(\Gamma)^* \) such that \( t(e_i) = s(e_{i+1}) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \). There is an obvious extension of the source and target functions to paths: If \( p = e_1 \cdots e_n \) is a path in \( \Gamma \), then define \( s(p) = s(e_1) \) and \( t(p) = t(e_n) \). In addition, we include a trivial path \( \epsilon_x \) (with no edges) for each vertex \( x \in V(\Gamma) \), where \( s(\epsilon_x) = t(\epsilon_x) = x \).

A transformation taking a path \( p \) to a path \( q \) is called an elementary homotopy if \( q \) is obtained from \( p \) by the deletion or insertion of a subword of the form \( e \bar{e} \) for some \( e \in E(\Gamma) \). We say that paths \( p \) and \( q \) are homotopic if there is a finite sequence of elementary homotopies that carries \( p \) to \( q \). Homotopy is an equivalence relation, denoted by \( \simeq \), on the set of all paths of \( \Gamma \). Note that if \( p \simeq q \), then \( s(p) = s(q) \)
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and \( t(p) = t(q) \) and hence it makes sense to talk about the source and target of a homotopy class. The homotopy class of a path \( p \) is denoted by \([p]\) and the set of all homotopy classes of paths in \( \Gamma \) is denoted by \( \pi(\Gamma) \).

If \( p \) and \( q \) are paths in \( \Gamma \) and \( t(p) = s(q) \), then the concatenation \( pq \) is again a path and \( s(pq) = s(p) \) and \( t(pq) = t(q) \). Concatenation respects homotopy of paths and therefore determines a (partial) product on the set \( \pi(\Gamma) \) of homotopy classes of paths given by \([p][q] = [pq]\), provided that \( t(p) = s(q) \). The set \( \pi(\Gamma) \) with this operation is called the \textit{fundamental groupoid} of \( \Gamma \).

If \( f: \Gamma \to \Delta \) is a map of graphs, then the induced homomorphism of monoids \( f: E(\Gamma)^* \to E(\Delta)^* \) obviously takes paths to paths and homotopic paths to homotopic paths. Thus \( f \) determines a homomorphism of fundamental groupoids which we also denote by \( f: \pi(\Gamma) \to \pi(\Delta) \). Note that \( f \) maps trivial paths to trivial paths and paths of length one to paths of length one. Conversely, every homomorphism of groupoids \( \pi(\Gamma) \to \pi(\Delta) \) with this property arises from a map of graphs \( \Gamma \to \Delta \).

We will now define our notion of a graph of groups. The definition below is a departure from the traditional Bass-Serre Theory in that we require that our edge groups be subgroups of the corresponding source vertex groups. Our definition agrees with the one given in \([2]\) and is obviously equivalent to Serre’s definition \([5]\).

\textbf{Definition 2.1.} A \textit{graph of groups} \((\Gamma, A)\) consists of

(i) a graph \( \Gamma \);

(ii) for each \( x \in V(\Gamma) \), a group \( A_x \);

(iii) for each \( e \in E(\Gamma) \), a subgroup \( A_e \leq A_{s(e)} \) and a monomorphism \( A_e \to A_{t(e)} \) denoted by \( c \mapsto c^e \); and

(iv) the edge monomorphisms are required to be such that for each edge \( e \), the image of the map \( A_e = A_{e}^c = A_{e}^d = A_{e}^d \) and the maps \( e, \bar{e} \) are inverses of one another—i.e., \( c^e \bar{e} = c \) and \( d^e \bar{e} = d \) for all \( c \in A_e \) and all \( d \in A_{\bar{e}} \).
**Example 2.2.** [Main example.] Let $G$ be a group acting on a graph $\Gamma$ without edge inversions, on the left. Note here that it is also convenient to write the group action on the right by letting

$$x \cdot g = g^{-1} \cdot x$$

for all vertices or edges $x$ and $g \in G$.

Let $\Delta = \Gamma/G$ be the quotient graph and choose a section $\sigma$ of the orbit map $\Gamma \to \Gamma/G = \Delta$; for each vertex and edge $z$ of $\Delta$, $\sigma(z)$ is a choice of a member in the orbit of $z$. We require that for each $e \in E(\Delta)$,

$$s(\sigma(e)) = \sigma(s(e)).$$

Also, for each $e \in E(\Delta)$, choose an element $g_e \in G$ such that

$$\overline{\sigma(e)} \cdot g_e = \sigma(\overline{e}).$$

We require that $g_e = g_e^{-1}$.

Construct a graph of groups $(\Delta, B)$ as follows. For each vertex or edge $z$ of $\Delta$, let

$$B_z = G_{\sigma(z)} \text{ (the stabilizer subgroup).}$$

Since $G$ acts without inversions, then for every $g \in B_e = G_{\sigma(e)}$ and $e \in E(\Delta)$, we have that

$$s(\sigma(e) \cdot g) = s(\sigma(e))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow s(\sigma(e)) \cdot g = s(\sigma(e))$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sigma(s(e)) \cdot g = \sigma(s(e)).$$

Thus, $B_e \leq B_{s(e)}$ and we define $B_e \to B_{s(e)}$ via $b \mapsto b^e$ where

$$b^e = b^{ge} = g_e^{-1}bg_e.$$
Note that
\[
\sigma(t(e)) \cdot b^e = \sigma(s(e)) \cdot g_e^{-1} b g_e = s(\sigma(e)) \cdot g_e^{-1} b g_e
\]
\[
= s(\overline{\sigma(e)} \cdot b g_e) = s(\overline{\sigma(e)} \cdot g_e) = s(\sigma(e)) = \sigma(s(e))
\]
\[
= \sigma(t(e)).
\]
Hence, \(b^e g_e \in G_{\sigma(t(e))} = B_{t(e)}\) and we have that \(b \mapsto b^e\) is well-defined. It is a monomorphism as well.

We thus have a graph of groups \((\Delta, B)\) determined by the action of \(G\) on \(\Gamma\), the section \(\sigma\) of the orbit map \(\Gamma \to \Gamma/G = \Delta\), and the choices of \(g_e \in G\) \((e \in E(\Delta))\) such that \(g_e = g_e^{-1}\) and \(\overline{\sigma(e)} \cdot g_e = \sigma(e)\).

The fundamental groupoid of our graph of groups can be defined in terms of generators and relations as is done in [3].

**Definition 2.3.** The fundamental groupoid of \((\Gamma, A)\), denoted as \(\pi(\Gamma, A)\), has vertex set \(V(\Gamma)\) and is generated by the edges of \(\Gamma\) together with the elements of the vertex groups \(A_x\) for \(x \in V(\Gamma)\), where for each \(a \in A_x\) we define \(s(a) = t(a) = x\).

The defining relations are:

(i) \(aa' = b\) whenever \(b = aa'\) in \(A_x\) for some \(x \in V(\Gamma)\), and

(ii) \(\overline{e} ce = c e\) for all \(c \in A_e\), where \(e \in E(\Gamma)\).

Note that as a consequence of (ii), \(\overline{e} = e^{-1}\) in \(\pi(\Gamma, A)\). From the normal form theorem for graphs of groups discussed in [3], it follows that the natural map \(A_x \to \pi(\Gamma, A)\) is an embedding for each \(x \in V(\Gamma)\). Thus, to generalize the notion of vertices of graphs to the category of graphs of groups, we define

\[
V(\Gamma, A) = \bigcup_{x \in V(\Gamma)} A_x \hookrightarrow \pi(\Gamma, A).
\]
Next, we consider the set $E_0 = \{aeb : e \in E(\Gamma), a \in A_{s(e)}, b \in A_{t(e)}\}$ of words, and introduce the following equivalence relation: $aeb \equiv a'e'b'$ whenever $e' = e$, $a' = ac^{-1}$, and $b' = c^eb$ for some $c \in A_e$. This leads to our idea of generalized edges:

$$E(\Gamma, A) = \{[aeb] : e \in E(\Gamma), a \in A_{s(e)}, b \in A_{t(e)}\} = E_0/\equiv.$$

**Proposition 2.4.** The map $E(\Gamma, A) \to \pi(\Gamma, A)$ given by $[aeb] \mapsto aeb$ is injective.

**Proof.** To see that the map is well-defined, suppose $a_1e_1b_1 \equiv a_2e_2b_2$. Then, $e_1 = e_2$ and there exists $c \in A_{e_1} = A_{e_2}$ such that $a_2 = a_1c^{-1}$ and $b_2 = c^{e_1}b_1$. Thus, $a_1e_1b_1 = a_1c^{-1}e_1c^{e_1}b_1 = a_2e_2b_2$ in the fundamental groupoid. Hence, the map is well-defined.

In order to see that the map is injective, suppose that $[a_1e_1b_1]$ and $[a_2e_2b_2]$ have the same image in $\pi(\Gamma, A)$. We apply the normal form theorem [3]: Let $T_e$ be a choice of left transversal for $A_e$ in $A_{s(e)}$ for each $e \in E(\Gamma)$. Write $a_i$ as its unique product in $A_{s(e_i)}$ of the form $a_i = r_ic_i$, where $r_i \in T_{e_i}$ and $c_i \in A_{e_i}$. Then, working in $\pi(\Gamma, A)$, we see that

$$r_1e_1c_1^{e_1}b_1 = a_1e_1b_1 = a_2e_2b_2 = r_2e_2c_2^{e_2}b_2.$$

So by the uniqueness of normal forms, $r_1 = r_2$, $e_1 = e_2$, and $c_1^{e_1}b_1 = c_2^{e_2}b_2$. Hence,

$$a_2 = r_2c_2 = r_1(c_1c_1^{-1})c_2 = a_1(c_2^{-1}c_1)^{-1}$$

and

$$b_2 = (c_2^{e_2})^{-1}c_1^{e_1}b_1 = (c_2^{-1})^{e_1}c_1^{e_1}b_1 = (c_2^{-1}c_1)^{e_1}b_1.$$

Therefore, $[a_1e_1b_1] = [a_2e_2b_2]$. □
CHAPTER 3

MAPS OF GRAPHS OF GROUPS

Now, we turn our attention toward defining a map between two graphs of groups, \((\Gamma, A)\) and \((\Delta, B)\), say. As in the situation of maps of graphs, we would like such a map \(F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)\) to consist of two functions

\[
F: V(\Gamma, A) \to V(\Delta, B) \quad \text{and} \quad F: E(\Gamma, A) \to E(\Delta, B)
\]

that are compatible (in an obvious sense) so as to determine a homomorphism of groupoids, which we also denote by \(F: \pi(\Gamma, A) \to \pi(\Delta, B)\). Thus, we can avoid worrying about these compatibility conditions all together and define maps of graphs of groups in the following very simple way.

**Definition 3.1.** A map of graphs of groups \(F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)\) is a homomorphism of the fundamental groupoids, that we also denote by \(F: \pi(\Gamma, A) \to \pi(\Delta, B)\), that maps \(V(\Gamma, A)\) to \(V(\Delta, B)\) and \(E(\Gamma, A)\) to \(E(\Delta, B)\).

**Remarks 3.2.**

1. **Maps of trivial graphs of groups.** Regarding a graph as a graph of groups with a trivial group assigned to each vertex and each edge, we see that every map of graphs \(f: \Gamma \to \Delta\) is a map of graphs of (trivial) groups.

2. **The identity map.** For any graph of groups \((\Gamma, A)\), the identity maps on \(V(\Gamma, A)\) and on \(E(\Gamma, A)\) determine a map of graphs of groups \(\text{Id}: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Gamma, A)\).

3. **Compositions.** If \(F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Gamma', A')\) and \(G: (\Gamma', A') \to (\Gamma'', A'')\) are maps of graphs of groups, then the composite homomorphism \(GF: \pi(\Gamma, A) \to \pi(\Gamma'', A'')\) is a map of graphs of groups \(GF: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Gamma'', A'')\). Thus, graphs of groups with maps of graphs of groups form a category.
(4) **Projection onto the underlying graph.** Given a graph of groups \((\Gamma, A)\), define mappings \(q: V(\Gamma, A) \to V(\Gamma)\) and \(q: E(\Gamma, A) \to E(\Gamma)\) by \(q(a) = x\) for all \(x \in V(\Gamma)\) and all \(a \in A_x\) and \(q([aeb]) = e\) for all \([aeb] \in E(\Gamma, A)\). These mappings induce a homomorphism \(q: \pi(\Gamma, A) \to \pi(\Gamma)\) which is a map of graphs of groups. We call this map \(q: (\Gamma, A) \to \Gamma\) the projection of \((\Gamma, A)\) onto its underlying graph \(\Gamma\).

(5) **Inclusion of the underlying graph.** The mappings \(i: V(\Gamma) \to V(\Gamma, A)\) and \(i: E(\Gamma) \to E(\Gamma, A)\) given by \(i(x) = 1_x\) (the identity element in \(A_x\)) for all \(x \in V(\Gamma)\) and \(i(e) = [e]\) for all \(e \in E(\Gamma)\) induce a homomorphism \(i: \pi(\Gamma) \to \pi(\Gamma, A)\) which is a splitting of the projection map \(q: (\Gamma, A) \to \Gamma\). That is, the composition \(\Gamma \xrightarrow{i} (\Gamma, A) \xrightarrow{q} \Gamma\) is the identity map on \(\Gamma\).

(6) **The underlying map of graphs.** A map of graphs of groups \(F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)\) projects to a map of the underlying graphs \(f: \Gamma \to \Delta\) that makes the following diagram commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(\Gamma, A) & \xrightarrow{F} & (\Delta, B) \\
p & & q \\
\Gamma & \xrightarrow{f} & \Delta
\end{array}
\]

where \(p\) and \(q\) are the projections onto the underlying graphs. The map \(f\) is given by the composition \(f = qFi\), where \(i: \Gamma \to (\Gamma, A)\) is the inclusion map.

(7) **Restrictions to homomorphisms of vertex groups.** It follows from the above commutative diagram that \(F\) maps the vertex group \(A_x\) to the vertex group \(B_{f(x)}\) for each \(x \in V(\Gamma)\). Hence for each \(x \in V(\Gamma)\), \(F\) restricts to a homomorphism of groups \(F|_{A_x}: A_x \to B_{f(x)}\). On the other hand, in general \(F\) does not map edge groups to edge groups. However the image of an edge group \(A_e\) is a conjugate of \(B_{f(e)}\) in the vertex group \(B_{s(f(e))}\); see the lemma below.

Recall that the fundamental groupoid of a graph of groups \((\Gamma, A)\) can be defined by generators and relations, where the generators consist of the elements of \(A_x\) (for each \(x \in V(\Gamma)\)) and the elements of \(E(\Gamma)\). We next address the question of
when a function defined on this generating set for $\pi(\Gamma, A)$ induces a homomorphism $\pi(\Gamma, A) \to \pi(\Delta, B)$ which is a map of graphs of groups. More precisely, let $(\Gamma, A)$ and $(\Delta, B)$ be graphs of groups and assume that the following data is given:

- a map of the underlying graphs $f: \Gamma \to \Delta$;
- a homomorphism $f_x: A_x \to B_{f(x)}$, for each $x \in V(\Gamma)$;
- an element $\alpha(e) \in B_{s(f(e))}$ for each $e \in E(\Gamma)$.

Write $\beta(e) = \alpha(\bar{e})$ for each $e \in E(\Gamma)$ and define a function $F: V(\Gamma, A) \cup E(\Gamma) \to \pi(\Delta, B)$ by $F|_{A_x} = f_x$, for each $x \in V(\Gamma)$, and $F(e) = \alpha(e)f(e)\beta(e)^{-1}$, for each $e \in E(\Gamma)$.

**Lemma 3.3 (Induced maps).** With this setup, $F$ induces a homomorphism $F: \pi(\Gamma, A) \to \pi(\Delta, B)$ which is a map of graphs of groups if for all $e \in E(\Gamma)$ and all $c \in A_e$,

$$f_x(c)^{\alpha(e)} \in B_{f(e)} \quad \text{and} \quad f_x(c)^{\alpha(e)f(e)} = f_y(c^e)^{\beta(e)}$$

where $x = s(e)$ and $y = t(e)$.

The conditions in the lemma can be stated as the existence of a commutative diagram:

```
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A_y & \xrightarrow{f_y} & B_{f(y)} \\
\downarrow{e} & & \downarrow{f(e)} \\
A_e & \xrightarrow{(\text{ad } \alpha(e)) \circ f_x} & B_{f(e)} \\
\downarrow{f_x} & & \downarrow{\text{ad } \alpha(e)} \\
A_x & \xrightarrow{\text{ad } \alpha(e)} & B_{f(x)}
\end{array}
\]
```

for each $e \in E(\Gamma)$, where $x = s(e)$ and $y = t(e)$. In the above diagram, we make use of the somewhat standard notation: If $G$ is a group and $g \in G$, then $\text{ad } g$ denotes the inner automorphism of $G$ given by $(\text{ad } g)(x) = x^g = g^{-1}xg$. 


Proof of the lemma. First of all, let $aa' = b$ in a vertex group $A_x$. Then,
\[
F(a)F(a') = f_x(a)f_x(a') \\
= f_x(aa') \\
= f_x(b) = F(b).
\]

Also, for any $e \in E(\Gamma)$ and $c \in A_e$, we have
\[
F(\bar{e})F(c)F(e) = \alpha(\bar{e})f(\bar{e})\beta(\bar{e})^{-1}f_x(c)\alpha(e)f(e)\beta(e)^{-1} \\
= \beta(e)f(e)\alpha(e)^{-1}f_x(c)\alpha(e)f(e)\beta(e)^{-1} \\
= \beta(e)f_y(e^e)\alpha(e)\beta(e)^{-1} \\
= \alpha(e)f_y(e^e)\beta(e) \\
= f_y(e^e) = F(e^e)
\]
where $x = s(e)$ and $y = t(e)$.

We have shown that $F$ preserves the defining relations of $\pi(\Gamma, A)$, and hence induces a homomorphism $F: \pi(\Gamma, A) \to \pi(\Delta, B)$. Furthermore, it follows immediately from the way $F$ was defined that it maps $V(\Gamma, A)$ to $V(\Delta, B)$ and $E(\Gamma, A)$ to $E(\Delta, B)$. Therefore $F$ is a map of graphs of groups.

Conversely, we next observe that every map of graphs of groups arises as in Lemma 3.3. It also follows from this that our notion of a map of graphs of groups agrees with Bass’ notion of a morphism [1].

Proposition 3.4. Associated to every map $F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)$ of graphs of groups is the following data:

(i) a map of graphs $f: \Gamma \to \Delta$,

(ii) a homomorphism $f_x = F|_{A_x}: A_x \to B_{f(x)}$ for each $x \in V(\Gamma)$,
(iii) a function \( \alpha = \alpha_F: \mathcal{E}(\Gamma) \rightarrow V(\Delta, B) \)

such that for each \( e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma) \), \( F([e]) = [\alpha(e)f(e)\alpha(\overline{e})^{-1}] \). Moreover this data completely determines \( F \), satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.3, and is unique up to the equivalence on \( \alpha_F \) discussed below.

The proposition asserts that there is a one-to-one correspondence between maps \( F: (\Gamma, A) \rightarrow (\Delta, B) \) and equivalence classes of triples \((f, \{f_x\}_{x \in V(\Gamma)}, \alpha)\) that satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.3, where \( f: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \) is a map of graphs, each \( f_x: A_x \rightarrow B_{f(x)} \) is a homomorphism, and \( \alpha: \mathcal{E}(\Gamma) \rightarrow V(\Delta, B) \) is a function such that \( \alpha(e) \in B_{f(s(e))} \) for all \( e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma) \). Two such triples \((f, \{f_x\}_{x \in V(\Gamma)}, \alpha)\) and \((f', \{f'_x\}_{x \in V(\Gamma)}, \alpha')\) are equivalent if \( f = f' \), \( f_x = f'_x \) for each \( x \in V(\Gamma) \), and there exist elements \( c_e \in B_{f(e)} \) associated to the edges of \( \Gamma \) such that \( \alpha(\overline{e}) = \alpha'(\overline{e})c_e \) for all \( e \in \mathcal{E}(\Gamma) \).

**Proof of the Proposition.** First of all, the methods for divulging \( f: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \) and \( f_x = F|_{A_x}: A_x \rightarrow B_{f(x)} \) are discussed in Remarks (6) and (7) above. To construct the function \( \alpha \), choose an orientation \( E^+(\Gamma) \) of the graph \( \Gamma \); i.e., \( E^+(\Gamma) \) consists of a choice of exactly one edge in each pair \( \{e, \overline{e}\} \). Let \( e \in E^+(\Gamma) \) such that \( x = s(e) \) and \( y = t(e) \). Since \( F \) maps \( E(\Gamma, A) \) to \( E(\Delta, B) \), we have that \( F([e]) = [af(e)b] \) for some \( a \in B_{f(x)} \) and \( b \in B_{f(y)} \). We define \( \alpha(e) = a \) and \( \beta(e) = b^{-1} \). Then

\[
F([e]) = [\alpha(e)f(e)\beta(e)^{-1}]
\]

and by Proposition 2.4, we see that \( F([\overline{e}]) = [\beta(e)f(\overline{e})\alpha(e)^{-1}] \). Defining \( \alpha(\overline{e}) = \beta(e) \) and \( \beta(\overline{e}) = \alpha(e) \), we see that \( \alpha \) has the desired property for all edges of \( \Gamma \).

Next, suppose there exists \( \alpha': E(\Gamma) \rightarrow V(\Delta, B) \) also satisfying this condition. Let \( e \in E^+(\Gamma) \). Since \( \alpha(e)f(e)\beta(e)^{-1} \equiv \alpha'(e)f(e)\beta'(e)^{-1} \), there exists \( c_e \in B_{f(e)} \) such that \( \alpha(e)c_e^{-1} = \alpha'(e) \) and \( c_e f(e)\beta(e)^{-1} = \beta'(e)^{-1} \); whence

\[
\alpha(e) = \alpha'(e)c_e \text{ and } \beta(e) = \beta'(e)c_e f(e).
\]
Setting \( c_{\overset{f}{\tau}} = c_{e}^{f(e)} \), we see that 
\[
\begin{align*}
\overset{f}{\tau} & = f(\tau) = \overset{f}{\tau} = c_{e}^{f(\tau)} = c_{e} \\
\alpha(\overset{f}{\tau}) & = \alpha'(\overset{f}{\tau})c_{\overset{f}{\tau}} \\
\beta(\overset{f}{\tau}) & = \beta'(\overset{f}{\tau})c_{\overset{f}{\tau}}
\end{align*}
\]
Hence \( \alpha' \) is equivalent to \( \alpha \) in the above sense.

It remains to show that the conditions of Lemma 3.3 hold. To this end, let 
\( e \in E(\Gamma) \) and \( c \in A_e \). Then since \( c^{-1}ec \equiv e \), we must have
\[
\begin{align*}
f_x(c^{-1})\alpha(e)f(e)\beta(e)^{-1}f_y(c^e) & \equiv \alpha(e)f(e)\beta(e)^{-1}.
\end{align*}
\]
Hence, there exists \( d \in B_{f(e)} \) such that
\[
\begin{align*}
f_x(c^{-1})\alpha(e) & = \alpha(e)d^{-1} \\
\beta(e)^{-1}f_y(c^e) & = d^{f(e)}\beta(e)^{-1}.
\end{align*}
\]
The former yields that
\[
f_x(c)^{\alpha(e)} = d \in B_{f(e)},
\]
and the latter that
\[
f_y(c^e)^{\beta(e)} = d^{f(e)} = f_x(c)^{\alpha(e)f(e)},
\]
exactly the two conditions of Lemma 3.3. \( \square \)

Remark 3.5 (Notational conventions). From here on, we will adhere to the notation and conventions in the previous proposition for maps of graphs of groups. That is, a map of graphs of groups will be denoted by a capital letter, such as \( H : (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B) \). Its underlying map of graphs will be denoted by the corresponding lower case letter, such as \( h : \Gamma \to \Delta \). The vertex homomorphisms will be denoted by this lower case letter with a vertex as a subscript, such as \( h_x : A_x \to B_{h(x)} \). The edge labeling function (which is only unique up to equivalence) will be denoted by \( \alpha \), with a subscript if there is possible ambiguity, such as \( \alpha = \alpha_H \). Finally, for each
edge $e$ in the underlying graph of the domain, we will write $\beta(e) = \alpha(\overline{r})$, possibly with a subscript, such as $\beta = \beta_H$. 
CHAPTER 4

PATHS

Let $n$ be a positive integer and $I_n$ be the graph obtained by subdividing the interval $[0,n]$ at the integer points. As always, we view graphs as graphs of trivial groups.

**Definition 4.1.** A path of length $n \geq 1$ in a graph of groups $(\Gamma, A)$ is a map of graphs of groups

$$P : I_n \to (\Gamma, A).$$

For $n = 0$, the elements of the vertex groups $a \in A_x$, for all $x \in V(\Gamma)$, are regarded as paths of length zero in $(\Gamma, A)$.

The underlying map of graphs of a path $P : I_n \to (\Gamma, A)$ is a path $p : I_n \to \Gamma$. Let $\alpha = \alpha_P : E(I_n) \to V(\Gamma, A)$ be an edge labeling function as in Proposition 3.4. Denote the edges of $I_n$ by $[i-1,i]^\pm1$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$ is an integer. As before, write $\beta(e) = \alpha(\bar{e})$. The path $P$ determines a word $a_0e_1a_1 \cdots e_na_n$ where

- $a_0 = \alpha([0,1])$;
- $a_i = \beta([i-1,i])^{-1}\alpha([i,i+1])$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$;
- $a_n = \beta([n-1,n])^{-1}$; and
- $e_i = p([i-1,i])$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, the image of $[i-1,i]$ under the underlying map of graphs $p : I_n \to \Gamma$.

Note that by Proposition 3.4, this representation is unique up to the following equivalence relation: $a_0e_1a_1 \cdots e_na_n \equiv a'_0e'_1a'_1 \cdots e'_ma'_m$ if $m = n$, and for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have that $e'_i = e_i$ and there exists $c_i \in A_{e_i}$ such that
\[ a_0' = a_0c^{-1}, \]
\[ a_i' = c^e_i a_i c^{-1}_{i+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, \text{ and} \]
\[ a_n' = c^e_n a_n. \]

That is, the second word is obtained from the first by replacing each \( e_i \) by \( c^{-1}_i e_i c^e_i \).

Conversely, every word of the form \( a_0 e_1 a_1 \cdots e_n a_n \) where \( n \geq 1, \ e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \) is a path in \( \Gamma \), and each \( a_i \in A_{t(e_i)} = A_{s(e_{i+1})} \) is a representation of some path \( P: I_n \to (\Gamma, A) \). We write \( P \equiv a_0 e_1 a_1 \cdots e_n a_n \) to indicate that \( P \) is represented by this word and we identify \( P = [a_0 e_1 a_1 \cdots e_n a_n] \), the \( \equiv \)-class of the word \( a_0 e_1 a_1 \cdots e_n a_n \). Note that when \( n = 0 \) this agrees with our definition of paths of length zero. Thus \( V(\Gamma, A) \) consists of all paths of length zero and \( E(\Gamma, A) \) consists of all paths of length one in \( (\Gamma, A) \).

The source and target of a path \( P \) in \( (\Gamma, A) \) are the obvious vertices of \( \Gamma \): if \( P \equiv a_0 e_1 a_1 \cdots e_n a_n \), then \( s(P) = s(e_1) \) and \( t(P) = t(e_n) \). In the case of \( n = 0 \), \( s(P) = t(P) = x \) where \( a_0 \in A_x \).

If \( P: I_n \to (\Gamma, A) \) and \( Q: I_m \to (\Gamma, A) \) are paths such that \( t(P) = s(Q) \), then the product \( PQ \) is the path
\[ PQ: I_{m+n} \to (\Gamma, A) \]
defined using Lemma 3.3 as follows. Its underlying map of graphs is \( pq \), where \( p, q \) are the underlying paths of \( P, Q \) in \( \Gamma \), and \( \alpha : E(I_{m+n}) \to V(\Gamma, A) \) is given by
\[ \alpha([i, i + 1]) = \alpha_F([i, i + 1]) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n - 1 \]
and
\[ \alpha([i, i + 1]) = \alpha_Q([i - n, i - n + 1]) \text{ for } n \leq i \leq n + m - 1. \]

Define \( \beta \) the same way. This data determines \( PQ \) by Lemma 3.3. It is easy to see that if \( P \equiv a_0 e_1 a_1 \cdots e_n a_n \) and \( Q \equiv a_0' e_1' a_1' \cdots e_m' a_m' \), then
\[ PQ \equiv a_0 e_1 a_1 \cdots e_n (a_n a_0') e_1' a_1' \cdots e_m' a_m'. \]
Note that \(|PQ| = |P| + |Q|\), \(s(PQ) = s(P)\), and \(t(PQ) = t(Q)\).

Composition of paths is an associative operation, provided that the products are all defined. Thus, the set of all paths in \((\Gamma, A)\) forms a small category, denoted \(\mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A)\). A map \(F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)\) of graphs of groups induces a natural length preserving homomorphism (or functor) also denoted \(F: \mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A) \to \mathcal{P}(\Delta, B)\). If \(P \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A)\), then \(P: I_n \to (\Gamma, A)\) and \(F(P)\) is the composite map

\[
F \circ P: I_n \to (\Delta, B).
\]

Suppose that \(P \equiv a_0 e_1 a_1 \cdots e_n a_n\) and write \(x_i = t(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})\) for \(0 \leq i \leq n\). Then,

\[
F(P) \equiv f_{x_0}(a_0)\alpha_F(e_1)f(e_1)\beta_F(e_1)^{-1}f_{x_1}(a_1)\cdots f(e_n)\beta_F(e_n)^{-1}f_{x_n}(a_n)
\]

**Definition 4.2.** A *round-trip* in a path is a subpath of the form \(ece\), where \(e \in E(\Gamma)\) and \(c \in A_e\).

First note that the existence of a round-trip in a path \(P\) is independent of the representation of \(P\). Specifically, if \(P \equiv a_0 e_1 a_1 \cdots e_n a_n\) and \(e_i a_i e_{i+1}\) is a round-trip (i.e., \(e_i = e_{i+1}\) and \(a_i \in A_{e_{i+1}}\)), then for any representation \(P \equiv b_0 e_1 b_1 \cdots e_n b_n\), we have that \(e_i b_i e_{i+1}\) is a round-trip. To see this, note that from the definition of \(\equiv\) we have that

\[
b_i = c_i e_i a_i c_{i+1}^{-1},
\]

where \(c_i \in A_{e_i}\). Additionally, the fact that \(e_i a_i e_{i+1}\) is a round-trip yields

\[
e_i = e_{i+1} \text{ and } a_i \in A_{e_{i+1}}.
\]

Hence,

\[
e_i b_i e_{i+1} = e_{i+1} c_i e_i a_i c_{i+1}^{-1} e_{i+1}.
\]

Now, since \(c_i \in A_{e_i}\), we have that \(c_i e_i \in A_{e_{i+1}}\). Furthermore, we already have that \(a_i \in A_{e_{i+1}}\) and \(c_{i+1} \in A_{e_{i+1}}\). Thus, \(b_i = c_i e_i a_i c_{i+1}^{-1} \in A_{e_{i+1}}\). Therefore, \(e_i b_i e_{i+1}\) is a
round-trip. If a path $P$ contains a round-trip $\varepsilon ce$, then by replacing the round-trip by $c^e \in A_{t(e)}$ we get a path $P'$ with the same source and target vertices as $P$ and with $|P'| = |P| - 2$.

It should be noted that this operation is also independent of the representation of $P$. If $P \equiv a_0 e_1 a_1 \cdots e_n a_n \equiv b_0 e_1 b_1 \cdots e_n b_n$ and $e_i a_i e_{i+1}$ is a round-trip in the first word, then $e_i b_i e_{i+1}$ is a round-trip in the second word and

$$a_0 \cdots e_{i-1} (a_{i-1}^e a_i^{e+1} a_{i+1}) e_{i+2} \cdots a_n \equiv b_0 \cdots e_{i-1} (b_{i-1}^e b_i^{e+1} b_{i+1}) e_{i+2} \cdots b_n.$$  

To see this fact, since $a_0 e_1 a_1 \cdots e_n a_n \equiv b_0 e_1 b_1 \cdots e_n b_n$, we have that

$$b_i b_i^{e+1} b_{i+1} = c_i^{e-1} a_i^{e-1} \left( c_i^e a_i^{e+1} c_i^{e+1} \right) a_i^{e+1} c_i^{e+1} a_i^{e+1} c_i^{e+1}$$

$$= c_i^{e-1} a_i^{e+1} \left( c_i^e a_i^{e+1} c_i^{e+1} \right) a_i^{e+1} c_i^{e+1} a_i^{e+1} c_i^{e+1}$$

$$= c_i^{e-1} a_i^{e+1} \left( c_i^e a_i^{e+1} c_i^{e+1} \right) a_i^{e+1} c_i^{e+1} a_i^{e+1} c_i^{e+1}$$

$$= c_i^{e-1} a_i^{e+1} a_i^{e+1} c_i^{e+1} c_i^{e+1}$$

$$= c_i^{e-1} a_i^{e+1} a_i^{e+1} c_i^{e+1} c_i^{e+1}.$$

The above calculation combined with our definition of $\equiv$ yields the fact that

$$a_0 \cdots e_{i-1} (a_{i-1}^e a_i^{e+1} a_{i+1}) e_{i+2} \cdots a_n \equiv b_0 \cdots e_{i-1} (b_{i-1}^e b_i^{e+1} b_{i+1}) e_{i+2} \cdots b_n,$$

the desired result. We write that $P \not \Delta P'$ and say that $P'$ is obtained from $P$ by an elementary reduction. The equivalence relation on the set of paths in $(\Gamma, A)$ that is generated by $\not \Delta$ is called homotopy and is denoted by $\simeq$.

The product of paths is compatible with homotopy. If $P_1 \simeq P_2$, $Q_1 \simeq Q_2$, and $t(P_1) = s(Q_i)$, then $P_1 Q_1 \simeq P_2 Q_2$. To see this, suppose that

$$a_0 \cdots a_{i-1} e_i a_i e_{i+1} a_{i+1} \cdots a_m \equiv P_1 \simeq P_2 \equiv a_0 \cdots e_{i-1} (a_{i-1}^{e+1} a_{i+1}) e_{i+2} \cdots a_m$$
Note that \( P^{-1} \) is a path in \((T, A)\) and
\[
s(P^{-1}) = s(P) = t(P).
\]

Therefore, \( PQ_1 \equiv PQ_2 \). Additionally, every path \( P \) in \((T, A)\) has a homotopy inverse. If \( s(P) = x \) and \( t(P) = y \), then there exists a path \( P^{-1} \) with \( s(P^{-1}) = y \) and \( t(P^{-1}) = x \) such that \( PP^{-1} \equiv 1_x \) and \( P^{-1}P \equiv 1_y \), where \( 1_x \) and \( 1_y \) are paths of length zero corresponding to the identity elements of \( A_x \) and \( A_y \). In order to see this fact, first note that if \( s(e) = x \) and \( t(e) = y \), then the operation whereby a round-trip \( ee \) is replaced with \( e \) also yields that \( ee \) is replaced by \( 1_x \) in \((T, A)\), where \( 1_x \in A_x \) and we know that \( 1_x = 1_y \). Analogously, \( ee \) is replaced by \( 1_y \).

Now, consider the path \( P \equiv a_0e_1a_1 \cdots e_n \) in \((T, A)\). Define
\[
P^{-1} \equiv a_n^{-1}e_n^{-1} \cdots e_1^{-1}a_0^{-1}.
\]
Note that \( P^{-1} \) is a path in \((T, A)\) and
\[
s(P^{-1}) = s(P) = t(P).
\]

Then,
\[
b_0 \cdots b_i d_i d_{i+1} b_{i+1} \cdots b_n \equiv Q_1 \equiv Q_2 \equiv Q \equiv b_0 \cdots d_j b_{d_{j+1}} b_{d_{j+2}} \cdots b_n.
\]
Thus $PP^{-1}$ is well-defined and

$$PP^{-1} \equiv a_0 \cdots a_{n-2}e_{n-1}a_{n-1}e_n \left(a_n a_n^{-1}\right) \overline{e}_n a_{n-1}^{-1} \overline{e}_{n-1} a_{n-2}^{-1} \cdots a_0^{-1}$$

$$\equiv a_0 \cdots a_{n-2}e_{n-1}a_{n-1}e_n 1_{t(e_n)} \overline{e}_n a_{n-1}^{-1} \overline{e}_{n-1} a_{n-2}^{-1} \cdots a_0^{-1}$$

$$\simeq a_0 \cdots a_{n-2}e_{n-1}a_{n-1}1_{s(e_n)} a_{n-1}^{-1} \overline{e}_{n-1} a_{n-2}^{-1} \cdots a_0^{-1}$$

$$\equiv a_0 \cdots a_{n-2}1_{t(e_{n-1})} \overline{e}_{n-1} a_{n-2}^{-1} \cdots a_0^{-1}$$

$$\simeq a_0 \cdots a_{n-2}1_{s(e_{n-1})} a_{n-2}^{-1} \cdots a_0^{-1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\simeq a_0 1_{s(e_1)} a_0^{-1}$$

$$\equiv 1_x,$$

where $x = s(e_1) = s(P)$. A similar calculation yields the fact that $P^{-1}P \simeq 1_y$, where $y = t(e_n) = t(P)$. Hence, if $P \equiv a_0 e_1 a_1 \cdots e_n a_n$, then $P^{-1} \equiv a_n^{-1} \overline{e}_n a_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots \overline{e}_1 a_0^{-1}$.

Thus, the set of homotopy classes of paths in $(\Gamma,A)$ forms a groupoid. This groupoid is naturally isomorphic to the fundamental groupoid, $\pi(\Gamma,A)$. To demonstrate this point, first note that it has already been shown that given paths $P$ and $Q$ in $(\Gamma,A)$, where $t(P) = s(Q)$, we have that $PQ$ is a path in $(\Gamma,A)$ as well. Multiplication at the homotopy level is thus defined by $[P][Q] = [PQ]$. Additionally, it has already been described how to form the inverse of a given path $P$, and hence $[P]^{-1}$ is defined to be $[P^{-1}]$. Thus, we need to prove the following statements are true for all paths $P,Q$, and $R$ in $(\Gamma,A)$ where $t(P) = s(Q)$ and $t(Q) = s(R)$:

(i) $(PQ)R \simeq P(QR)$;

(ii) $(PQ)Q^{-1} \simeq P$; and

(iii) $P^{-1}(PQ) \simeq Q.$
To see (i), suppose that \( P \equiv a_0 e_1 \cdots e_n a_n \), \( Q \equiv a'_0 e'_1 \cdots e'_m a'_m \), and \( R \equiv a''_0 e''_1 \cdots e''_r a''_r \). Then,

\[
(PQ)R \equiv (a_0 e_1 \cdots e_n (a_n a'_0) e'_1 \cdots e'_m a'_m)(a'_0 e'_1 \cdots e''_r a''_r) \\
\equiv a_0 e_1 \cdots e_n (a_n a'_0) e'_1 \cdots e'_m (a'_m a''_0) e''_1 \cdots e''_r a''_r \\
\equiv (a_0 e_1 \cdots e_n a_n)(a'_0 e'_1 \cdots e'_m (a'_m a''_0) e''_1 \cdots e''_r a''_r) \\
\equiv P(QR).
\]

Thus, we have that \((PQ)R \equiv P(QR)\). Hence, at the homotopy level, we have

\[
([P][Q])[R] = ([PQ])[R] = [(PQ)R] = [P(QR)] = [P][([Q][R])] = [P][([Q][R])].
\]

Therefore, \((PQ)R \simeq P(QR)\).

For (ii), we employ (i) to see that

\[
(PQ)Q^{-1} \simeq P(QQ^{-1}) \\
\simeq P(1_{s(Q)}) \\
\equiv a_0 e_1 \cdots e_n a_n (1_{s(Q)}) \\
\equiv a_0 e_1 \cdots e_n (a_n 1_{s(Q)}) \\
\equiv a_0 e_1 \cdots e_n a_n \\
\equiv P.
\]

A similar calculation yields (iii) as well. Therefore, the set of homotopy classes of paths in \((\Gamma, A)\) does in fact form a groupoid.
Now, it remains to be seen that this groupoid is naturally isomorphic to the fundamental groupoid, $\pi(\Gamma, A)$. To this end, if $P \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A)$ such that $P \equiv a_0e_1 \cdots e_na_n$, we define the evaluation map

$$\eta : \mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A) \to \pi(\Gamma, A) \text{ via}$$

$$\eta(P) = a_0e_1 \cdots e_na_n.$$ 

It is easy to see that this map is well defined. Furthermore, suppose that $e_{i-1}a_{i-1} e_i$ is a round-trip below so that

$$a_0e_1 \cdots a_{i-2}e_{i-1}a_{i-1} e_ia_i \cdots e_na_n \equiv P \simeq Q \equiv a_0e_1 \cdots a_{i-2}a_{i-1}^e a_i \cdots e_na_n.$$ 

Then,

$$\eta(P) = a_0e_1 \cdots a_{i-2} e_{i-1}a_{i-1} e_ia_i \cdots e_na_n$$

$$= a_0e_1 \cdots a_{i-2}a_i^e a_i \cdots e_na_n$$

$$= \eta(Q).$$ 

Thus, $\eta$ induces a well-defined homomorphism also denoted $\eta : \mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A)/\simeq \to \pi(\Gamma, A)$.

Now, define $\phi$ on the generators of $\pi(\Gamma, A)$ by

$$\phi : \pi(\Gamma, A) \to \mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A)/\simeq \text{ via}$$

$$\phi(a) = [a] \text{ and } \phi(e) = [e] \text{ (mod } \simeq)$$

for all $a \in A_x$ and $e \in E(\Gamma)$ where $x$ runs through the vertices of $\Gamma$.

It remains to be seen that the appropriate relations hold. To this end, if $aa' = b$ in $A_x$ for some $x \in V(\Gamma)$, we have that

$$\phi(a)\phi(a') = [a][a'] = [b] = \phi(b)$$

in $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A)/\simeq$. In addition, if $e \in E(\Gamma)$ and $c \in A_e$, then

$$\phi(\overline{e})\phi(c)\phi(e) = [\overline{e}][c][e] = [\overline{e}ce] = [c^e] = \phi(c^e) \text{ (mod } \simeq)$$
in $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A) / \simeq$. Thus, $\phi$ extends to a well-defined homomorphism.

Lastly, we need to show that $\eta \phi$ and $\phi \eta$ are the respective identity maps. To this end,

$$\eta \phi(a) = \eta([a]) = a \quad \text{and} \quad \eta \phi(e) = \eta([e]) = e$$

and

$$\phi \eta ([P]) = \phi(a_0 e_1 \cdots e_n a_n)$$

$$= \phi(a_0) \phi(e_1) \cdots \phi(e_n) \phi(a_n)$$

$$= [a_0] [e_1] \cdots [e_n] [a_n]$$

$$= [P].$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A) / \simeq$ is isomorphic to $\pi(\Gamma, A)$.

Finally, we say that a path $P$ in $(\Gamma, A)$ is reduced if it contains no round-trips. Note that each homotopy class of paths contains a unique reduced path. For, by definition every path in $(\Gamma, A)$ is of finite length. Hence, each path can contain at most finitely many round-trips. Therefore, every homotopy class of paths does contain a reduced path. Uniqueness is handled below in Theorem 4.3.

**Theorem 4.3 (Normal Form Theorem).** Every path $P$ in $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A)$ is homotopic to a unique reduced path and the evaluation map $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A) \to \pi(\Gamma, A)$ maps the set of reduced paths in $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A)$ bijectively onto $\pi(\Gamma, A)$.

**Proof.** The first part follows immediately from the second part, which is a generalization of Proposition 2.4; and the proof given there extends as follows, using induction on the lengths of reduced paths. Let $P \equiv a_0 e_1 a_1 \cdots e_m a_m$ and $Q \equiv b_0 e'_1 b_1 \cdots e'_n b_n$ be reduced paths with the same evaluation in $\pi(\Gamma, A)$. By the normal form theorem of Higgins [3], we must have that $e_1 = e'_1$, $a_0 = r_0 c_1$, and $b_0 = r_0 d_1$, where $r_0 \in T_{e_1}$ and $c_1, d_1 \in A_{e_1}$ (with the setup as in the proof of Proposition 2.4). Thus, in $\pi(\Gamma, A)$
we have
\[ r_0 e_1 (c_1^1 a_1) e_2 \cdots e_m a_m = r_0 e_1 (d_1^e b_1) e'_2 \cdots e'_n b_n. \]
Cancelling \( r_0 e_1 \) from the left sides of this equation and using induction on the sum of the lengths of the reduced paths, we conclude that
\[ (c_1^1 a_1) e_2 a_2 \cdots e_m a_m \equiv (d_1^e b_1) e'_2 b_2 \cdots e'_n b_n. \]
Note also that \( b_0 = r_0 d_1 = (a_0 c_1^{-1}) d_1 = a_0 (d_1^{-1} c_1)^{-1} \) and that \( d_1^{-1} c_1 \in A_{e_1} \). Thus
\[
\begin{align*}
  a_0 e_1 a_1 \cdots e_m a_m &\equiv a_0 (d_1^{-1} c_1)^{-1} e_1 (d_1^{-1} c_1)^{e_1} a_1 e_2 a_2 \cdots e_m a_m \\
  &\equiv b_0 e_1 (d_1^{-1})^{e_1} (c_1^1 a_1) e_2 a_2 \cdots a_m e_m \\
  &\equiv b_0 e_1 (d_1^{-1})^{e_1} (d_1^e b_1) e'_2 b_2 \cdots e'_n b_n \\
  &= b_0 e'_1 b_1 e'_2 b_2 \cdots e'_n b_n,
\end{align*}
\]
yielding that \( P = Q \), as required. \( \square \)
CHAPTER 5

COVERINGS

Now, in an effort to develop some aspects of covering space theory in the category of graphs of groups, we turn our attention toward defining the notion of a link in \((\Gamma, A)\).

**Definition 5.1.** Let \((\Gamma, A)\) be a graph of groups and \(x \in V(\Gamma)\). Then, the link of \(x\) in \((\Gamma, A)\) is defined as

\[
L_x = \{(aA_e, e) : a \in A_x, e \in E(\Gamma), s(e) = x\}.
\]

If \(P \equiv a_0e_1a_1 \cdots e_na_n\) is a path of length \(n \geq 1\), then define \(P'(0) \in L_x\) where \(x = s(P)\) by

\[
P'(0) = (a_0A_{e_1}, e_1).
\]

Note that \(P'(0)\) does not depend on the word representing \(P\). This is easily demonstrated. Suppose that \(P \equiv a_0e_1a_1 \cdots e_na_n \equiv b_0e_1b_1 \cdots e_nb_n\) is a path in \((\Gamma, A)\). Then, by our definition of \(\equiv\), we have that \(b_0 = a_0c^{-1}\) for some \(c \in A_{e_1}\). Thus, using the representative \(a_0e_1a_1 \cdots e_na_n\) we have that \(P'(0) = (a_0A_{e_1}, e_1)\) and using the representative \(b_0e_1b_1 \cdots e_nb_n\) we have that

\[
P'(0) = (b_0A_{e_1}, e_1) = (a_0c^{-1}A_{e_1}, e_1) = (a_0A_{e_1}, e_1)
\]

since \(c \in A_{e_1}\). Thus \(P'(0)\) does not depend on the representation of \(P\).

Now, suppose that \(F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)\) is a map of graphs of groups. Write \(f: \Gamma \to \Delta\) for the underlying map of graphs. For each vertex \(x \in V(\Gamma)\), \(F\) induces a map
\[ F_x : L_x(\Gamma, A) \to L_{f(x)}(\Delta, B) \]
given by \((aA_e, e) \mapsto (f_x(a)\alpha(e)B_{f(e)}, f(e))\). Note that \(F_x\) is well-defined. For if \(aA_e = bA_e\) for some \(a, b \in A_x\), then \(b = ac\) for some \(c \in A_e\), and we have that

\[
F_x(bA_e, e) = (f_x(b)\alpha(e)B_{f(e)}, f(e))
\]

\[
= (f_x(ac)\alpha(e)B_{f(e)}, f(e))
\]

\[
= (f_x(a)f_x(c)\alpha(e)B_{f(e)}, f(e))
\]

\[
= (f_x(a)\alpha(e)f_x(c)\alpha(e)B_{f(e)}, f(e))
\]

\[
= (f_x(a)\alpha(e)B_{f(e)}, f(e))
\]

\[
= F_x(aA_e, e)
\]

since \(f_x(c)^{\alpha(e)} \in B_{f(e)}\) by Proposition 3.4. Furthermore, \(F_x(P'(0)) = (FP)'(0)\). In order to see this, let \(P \equiv a_0e_1a_1 \cdots e_na_n\) be a path in \((\Gamma, A)\). Then,

\[
F_x(P'(0)) = F_x(a_0A_{e_1}, e_1) = (f_x(a_0)\alpha(e_1)B_{f(e_1)}, f(e_1)) ,
\]

and

\[
FP \equiv f_{s(e_1)}(a_0)\alpha(e_1)f(e_1)\beta(e_1)^{-1}f_{s(e_2)}(a_1) \cdots f(e_n)\beta(e_n)^{-1}f_{t(e_n)}.
\]

Thus,

\[
(FP)'(0) = (f_{s(e_1)}(a_0)\alpha(e_1)B_{f(e_1)}, f(e_1))
\]

\[
= (f_x(a_0)\alpha(e_1)B_{f(e_1)}, f(e_1))
\]

\[
= F_x(P'(0)).
\]

Now that we have a well-defined map on the links in \((\Gamma, A)\) we can explore the notion of a covering map in the category of Graphs of Groups, as Bass did in [1].
Definition 5.2. A map $F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)$ is called a covering if for all $x \in V(\Gamma)$,

(i) $f_x = F|_{A_x}: A_x \to B_{f(x)}$ is injective, and

(ii) $F_x: L_x \to L_{f(x)}$ is bijective.

5.1. Path-lifting

We first consider the path lifting property for coverings of graphs of groups. From here forward in this section we will assume that $F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)$ is a covering.

Lemma 5.3 (Uniqueness of lifts of paths). If $P \equiv a_0e_1a_1 \cdots e_ma_m$ and $Q \equiv b_0e'_1b_1 \cdots e'_nb_n$ are paths in $(\Gamma, A)$ such that $s(P) = s(Q)$ and $F(P) = F(Q)$, then $P = Q$.

Proof. First of all, since $F(P) = F(Q)$, we have that $(FP)'(0) = (FQ)'(0)$. But, since $F_x(P'(0)) = (FP)'(0)$ and $F_x(Q'(0)) = (FQ)'(0)$ where $x = s(P) = s(Q)$, we also have that $F_x(P'(0)) = F_x(Q'(0))$. The fact that $F$ is a covering then yields that $P'(0) = Q'(0)$ which implies that $(a_0A_{e_1}, e_1) = (b_0A_{e'_1}, e'_1)$. Hence, $e'_1 = e_1$ and $b_0 = a_0c$ for some $c \in A_{e_1}$.

Now, we have that $P \equiv a_0e_1a_1 \cdots e_ma_m$ and $Q \equiv b_0e'_1b_1 \cdots e'_nb_n \equiv a_0e_1c^{e_1}b_1e'_2 \cdots e'_nb_n$. Note here that paths given by $a_1e_2a_2 \cdots e_ma_m$ and $c^{e_1}b_1e'_2b_2 \cdots e'_nb_n$ have the same initial vertex, namely $t(e_1)$, and map to the same path under $F$. So, by induction on the length of paths,

$$a_1e_2a_2 \cdots e_ma_m \equiv c^{e_1}b_1e'_2b_2 \cdots e'_nb_n.$$

Hence, we have that

$$(a_0e_1) a_1e_2a_2 \cdots e_ma_m \equiv (a_0e_1) c^{e_1}b_1e'_2b_2 \cdots e'_nb_n.$$
Thus, $P = Q$. For paths of length 0, the result follows immediately from (i) in Definition 5.2.

\[ \Box \]

**Lemma 5.4.** Let $P$ be a path in $(\Delta, B)$ from a vertex $x$ to a vertex $y$ in $\Delta$ and let $\tilde{x}$ be a vertex in $\Gamma$ with $f(\tilde{x}) = x$. Then, there exists a path $\tilde{P}$ in $(\Gamma, A)$ with source vertex $\tilde{x}$ and an element $b \in B_y$ such that

$$ F(\tilde{P}) = Pb. $$

**Proof.** This will be a proof by induction on $|P|$. To begin, if $|P| = 0$, let $\tilde{P} = 1_{\tilde{x}}$ and let $b = P^{-1}$. Then, $F(\tilde{P}) = 1_x = Pb$. Now, assume that $|P| = n \geq 1$. Then, $P'(0) \in L_x$ and $F_{\tilde{x}} : L_{\tilde{x}} \rightarrow L_x$ is a bijection. So, there exists $(\tilde{a}_0A_{\tilde{e}_1}, \tilde{e}_1) \in L_{\tilde{x}}$ such that

$$ F_{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{a}_0A_{\tilde{e}_1}, \tilde{e}_1) = \left( f_{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{a}_0) \alpha(\tilde{e}_1) B_{f(\tilde{e}_1)}, f(\tilde{e}_1) \right) = P'(0). $$

Thus, $P$ has a representation

$$ P \equiv a_0e_1a_1e_2 \cdots e_na_n $$

where $a_0 = f_{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{a}_0) \alpha(\tilde{e}_1)$ and $e_1 = f(\tilde{e}_1)$. Let $\tilde{P}_0 \equiv \tilde{a}_0\tilde{e}_1$ be a path in $(\Gamma, A)$ from $s(\tilde{e}_1) = \tilde{x}$. Then,

$$ F(\tilde{P}_0) \equiv f_{\tilde{x}}(\tilde{a}_0) \alpha(\tilde{e}_1) f(\tilde{e}_1) \beta(\tilde{e}_1)^{-1} = a_0e_1\beta(\tilde{e}_1)^{-1}. $$

Now, since $P_1 \equiv \beta(\tilde{e}_1)a_1e_2 \cdots e_na_n$ is a path of length $n-1$ starting at $s(e_2) = t(e_1) = f(\tilde{x}_1)$, by induction there exists a path $\tilde{P}_1$ in $(\Gamma, A)$ with source vertex $\tilde{x}_1 = t(\tilde{e}_1) = s(\tilde{e}_2)$ and an element $b \in B_y$ such that

$$ F(\tilde{P}_1) = P_1b. $$
Taking the product $\tilde{P} = \tilde{P}_0 \tilde{P}_1$ yields a path with source $\tilde{x}$ such that

$$F(\tilde{P}) = F(\tilde{P}_0)F(\tilde{P}_1)$$

$$\equiv (a_0 e_1 \beta(\tilde{e}_1)^{-1})(\beta(\tilde{e}_1) a_1 e_2 \cdots e_n a_n b)$$

$$\equiv Pb.$$

\[\square\]

**Theorem 5.5 (Unique path-lifting property).** Let $P$ be a path in $(\Delta, B)$ from $x$ to $y$ such that $B_y = 1$, and let $\tilde{x}$ be a vertex in $\Gamma$ with $f(\tilde{x}) = x$. Then, there exists a unique path $\tilde{P}$ in $(\Gamma, A)$ with source vertex $\tilde{x}$ such that $F(\tilde{P}) = P$.

### 5.2. Homotopy lifting

**Lemma 5.6.** Let $P$ and $Q$ be paths in $(\Gamma, A)$ such that $s(P) = s(Q)$ and $F(P) \searrow F(Q)$. Then, $P \searrow Q$.

**Proof.** To begin, suppose that $F(P)$ contains a round-trip $e_1 b e_2$ (i.e., $e_1 = \overline{e}_2$ and $b \in B_{\overline{e}_2}$) and that $F(Q)$ is obtained from $F(P)$ by replacing $e_1 b e_2$ with $b' \overline{e}_2$. Now in $P$ there must be a subpath of the form $\tilde{e}_1 a \tilde{e}_2$ where $f(\tilde{e}_1) = e_1$, $f(\tilde{e}_2) = e_2$, $\beta(\tilde{e}_1)^{-1} f_{\tilde{x}}(a) \alpha(\tilde{e}_2) = b$, and $\tilde{x} = s(\tilde{e}_2)$.

Since $b \in B_{\overline{e}_2}$ and $e_2 = \overline{e}_1$,

$$\left( f_{\tilde{x}}(a) \alpha(\tilde{e}_2) B_{\overline{e}_2}, e_2 \right) = \left( \beta(\tilde{e}_1) b B_{\overline{e}_2}, e_2 \right) = \left( \beta(\tilde{e}_1) B_{\overline{e}_1}, \overline{e}_1 \right)$$

as elements of $L_{f(\tilde{x})}$. Thus,

$$F_{\tilde{x}}(a A_{\tilde{e}_2}, \tilde{e}_2) = F_{\tilde{x}}(A_{\overline{e}_1}, \overline{e}_1).$$

However, $F$ is a covering map. Hence, $\overline{e}_1 = \overline{e}_2$ and $a \in A_{\overline{e}_2}$. Thus, we have that $\tilde{e}_1 a \tilde{e}_2$ is a round-trip in $P$. 29
Now, let \( P' \) be the path obtained from \( P \) by replacing \( \tilde{e}_1a\tilde{e}_2 \) by \( a\tilde{e}_2 \). We need to show that \( P' = Q \). To this end, note that if \( \tilde{y} = t(\tilde{e}_2) \), then we see that

\[
f_{\tilde{y}}(a\tilde{e}_2)^{\beta(\tilde{e}_2)} = f_{\tilde{x}}(a)^{\alpha(\tilde{e}_2)}f(\tilde{e}_2) = f_{\tilde{x}}(a)^{\alpha(\tilde{e}_2)}e_2 = b^{e_2}
\]

since

\[
f_{\tilde{x}}(a)^{\alpha(\tilde{e}_2)} = \alpha(\tilde{e}_2)^{-1}f_{\tilde{x}}(a)\alpha(\tilde{e}_2) = \beta(\tilde{e}_1)^{-1}f_{\tilde{x}}(a)\alpha(\tilde{e}_2) = b.
\]

It follows that \( F(P') = F(Q) \). Hence, by Lemma 5.3, we have that \( P' = Q \). Therefore, \( P \searrow Q \).

\[ \square \]

The theorem below is an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.6.

**Theorem 5.7 (Homotopy-lifting property).** Let \( P \) and \( Q \) be paths in \( (\Gamma, A) \) with the same source vertex. If \( F(P) \simeq F(Q) \), then \( P \simeq Q \); in particular \( P \) and \( Q \) have the same target vertex.

Combining this theorem with Theorem 5.5 we have

**Corollary 5.8.** Let \( P \) and \( Q \) be paths in \( (\Delta, B) \) from \( x \) to \( y \) such that \( B_y = 1 \), and let \( \tilde{P} \) and \( \tilde{Q} \) be the unique lifts of \( P \) and \( Q \) starting at \( \tilde{x} \), a vertex in \( \Gamma \) with the property that \( f(\tilde{x}) = x \). Then, if \( P \simeq Q \), we have that \( \tilde{P} \simeq \tilde{Q} \); in particular \( \tilde{P} \) and \( \tilde{Q} \) also have the same target vertex.

### 5.3. Conjugation of maps and general lifting criterion

For our analogue for graphs of groups of the general lifting criterion in the topological theory of covering spaces, we need the following notion of equivalence of maps of graphs of groups, called conjugacy.

Let \( G: (\Sigma, C) \to (\Gamma, A) \) be a map of graphs of groups with underlying map of graphs \( g: \Sigma \to \Gamma \). For each \( x \in V(\Sigma) \), choose an element \( a_x \in A_{g(x)} \). Then by the
conjugate of $G$ by the $a_x$'s we will mean the map $G'$ with the same underlying map of graphs $g' = g$, defined as follows: for each $x \in V(\Sigma)$, define the homomorphism $g'_x : C_x \to A_{g(x)}$ by $g'_x(c) = g_x(c)^{a_x}$; and for each $e \in E(\Sigma)$, define its edge label by $\alpha'(e) = a_{s(e)}^{-1} \alpha(e)$. It is easy to see that the conditions of Lemma 3.3 hold for the $g'_x$'s and $\alpha'(e)$'s, and hence a map $G' : (\Sigma, C) \to (\Gamma, A)$ is induced, called a conjugate of $G$.

Conjugation is obviously an equivalence relation on the set of maps from $(\Sigma, C)$ to $(\Gamma, A)$. And as we next show, homomorphisms of fundamental groups induced by conjugate maps are the same up to conjugation by an element of the base vertex group.

**Lemma 5.9.** If $G, G' : (\Sigma, C) \to (\Gamma, A)$ are conjugate maps of graphs of groups and $v \in V(\Sigma)$, then the induced homomorphisms of fundamental groups $G, G' : \pi(\Sigma, C, v) \to \pi(\Gamma, A, g(v))$ are also conjugates. More precisely, there exists $a \in A_{g(v)} \subseteq \pi(\Gamma, A, g(v))$ such that $G'([P]) = G([P])^a$ for all $[P] \in \pi(\Sigma, C, v)$.

**Proof.** Suppose $G'$ is the result of conjugating $G$ by elements $a_x \in A_{g(x)}$. We show that the result already holds at the path level. Let $P \equiv c_0e_1c_1 \cdots c_ne_n$ be a path in $(\Sigma, C)$, and write $x_i = t(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})$. Then $G'(P) \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A)$ is represented by

$$G'(P) \equiv g'_{x_0}(c_0)\alpha'(e_1)g(e_1)\beta'(e_1)^{-1}g'_{x_1}(c_1)\cdots \alpha'(e_n)g(e_n)\beta'(e_n)^{-1}g'_{x_n}(c_n)$$

$$= g_{x_0}(c_0)^{a_{x_0}}a_{x_0}^{-1}\alpha(e_1)g(e_1)\beta(e_1)^{-1}a_{x_1}g_{x_1}(c_1)^{a_{x_1}} \cdots g_{x_n}(c_n)^{a_{x_n}}$$

$$= a_{x_0}^{-1}g_{x_0}(c_0)^{a_{x_0}}a(c_1)c_1 \cdots a_{x_n}g_{x_n}(c_n)c_n$$

$$= a_{x_0}^{-1}G(P)a_{x_n}.$$

If $P$ is a loop based at $v$, then $x_0 = x_n = v$. We see that the result holds with $a = a_v$. 

We can now state our general lifting criterion for coverings of graphs of groups:
Theorem 5.10 (General lifting). Suppose $F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)$ is a covering map, $G: (\Sigma, C) \to (\Delta, B)$ is a map of graphs of groups, where $\Sigma$ is a connected graph, and let $u, v$ be vertices of $\Gamma, \Sigma$ such that $f(u) = g(v)$. Then there exists a map $\tilde{G}: (\Sigma, C) \to (\Gamma, A)$ such that $\tilde{g}(v) = u$ and $F\tilde{G}$ is conjugate to $G$ if and only if $[G\pi(\Sigma, C, v)]^{b_0} \subseteq F\pi(\Gamma, A, u)$ for some $b_0 \in B_{f(u)} = B_{g(v)}$; and if $\tilde{G}$ exists, it is unique up to conjugacy.

The proof is deferred to Chapter 6.

5.4. Equivalence of coverings

First we define isomorphisms of graphs of groups in the obvious way. We call a map of graphs of groups $M: (\Gamma_1, A_1) \to (\Gamma_2, A_2)$ an isomorphism if there exists a map of graphs of groups $N: (\Gamma_2, A_2) \to (\Gamma_1, A_1)$ such that both compositions $MN$ and $NM$ are identity maps; such a map $N$ is called an inverse of $M$. If $M$ has an inverse, then by a familiar argument, it is unique—we denote it by $M^{-1}$. Note also that in this situation the induced map $M: \pi(\Gamma_1, A_1, x) \to \pi(\Gamma_2, A_2, m(x))$ is an isomorphism of groups, with inverse $M^{-1}: \pi(\Gamma_2, A_2, m(x)) \to \pi(\Gamma_1, A_1, x)$, for any vertex $x$ in $\Gamma_1$.

Using Lemma 3.3, it is not hard to show that a map of graphs of groups $M: (\Gamma_1, A_1) \to (\Gamma_2, A_2)$ is an isomorphism if and only if three conditions hold:

- the underlying map $m: \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_2$ is an isomorphism of graphs;
- for each $x \in V(\Gamma_1)$, the map $m_x: (A_1)_x \to (A_2)_{m(x)}$ is an isomorphism of groups;

\[ \text{Diagram:} \]

\[ \text{Diagram:} \]
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\[ \text{Diagram:} \]
• for each \( e \in E(\Gamma_1) \), the mapping \( c \mapsto m_x(c)^{\alpha M(e)} \) is an isomorphism of groups \( (A_1)_e \to (A_2)_{m(e)} \).

The three conditions are obviously necessary for \( M \) to be an isomorphism. To see that they are sufficient, note that the first two conditions allow us to define a map of graphs of groups \( N : (\Gamma_2, A_2) \to (\Gamma_1, A_1) \) by applying Lemma 3.3 to the data:

1. \( n = m^{-1} : \Gamma_2 \to \Gamma_1 \);
2. \( n_x = m_x^{-1} \) for all \( x \in V(\Gamma_2) \); and
3. \( \alpha_N(e) = m_x^{-1}(\alpha_M(e')^{-1}) \) for all \( e \in E(\Gamma_2) \),

where \( x' = n(x) \) and \( e' = n(e) \). Also, note below that \( c' = n_x(c) \) where \( c \in (A_2)_e \). We desire to show that \( N = M^{-1} \). To do so, we need to prove the following:

(i) \( N \) is a map of graphs of groups, and
(ii) \( NM = Id : (\Gamma_1, A_1) \to (\Gamma_1, A_1) \) and \( MN = Id : (\Gamma_2, A_2) \to (\Gamma_2, A_2) \), the respective identity maps.

With regard to (i), to apply Lemma 3.3, we need to first show that

\[
n_x(c)^{\alpha_N(e)} \in (A_1)_{e'}
\]

for all \( c \in (A_2)_e \). To this end, we have that

\[
n_x(c)^{\alpha_N(e)} = m_x^{-1}(c)m_x^{-1}(\alpha_M(e')^{-1})
\]

\[= m_x^{-1}(\alpha_M(e')c\alpha_M(e')^{-1})
\]

\[= m_x^{-1}(\alpha_M(e')\alpha_M(e')^{-1}m_{x'}(c'_1)\alpha_M(e')\alpha_M(e')^{-1})
\]

\[= c'_1 \in (A_1)_{e'}
\]

since the fact that the mapping \( c \mapsto m_x(c)^{\alpha M(e)} \) is an isomorphism of groups \( (A_1)_e \to (A_2)_{m(e)} \) implies that there exists a \( c'_1 \in (A_1)_{e'} \) such that \( c = \alpha_M(e')^{-1}m_{x'}(c'_1)\alpha_M(e') \).
To conclude (i), we now need to show that

\[ [n_x(e)^{\alpha_N(e)}]^n(e) = n_y(e)^{\beta_N(e)}. \]

To this end, we see that

\[
[n_x(c)^{\alpha_N(e)n(e)}] = [m_{x'}^{-1}(\alpha_M(e'))m_{x'}^{-1}(c)m_{x'}^{-1}(\alpha_M(e')^{-1})]^{e'} \\
= [m_{x'}^{-1}(\alpha_M(e'))m_{x'}^{-1}(\alpha_M(e')^{-1}m_{x'}(c_1')\alpha_M(e'))m_{x'}^{-1}(\alpha_M(e')^{-1})]^{e'} \\
= [m_{x'}^{-1}(m_{x'}(c_1'))]^{e'} \\
= c_1^{e'}. 
\]

But, since \( m_{x'}(c')^{\alpha_M(e')e} = m_{y'}(c^{e'}_1)^{\beta_M(e')} \), we know that

\[
c_1^{e'} = m_{y'}^{-1}(\beta_M(e'))[m_{x'}(c_1')^{\alpha_M(e')e}]^{\beta_M(e')^{-1}} \\
= m_{y'}^{-1}(\beta_M(e'))m_{y'}^{-1}(\alpha_M(e')^{-1}m_{x'}(c_1')\alpha_M(e'))^{e'}m_{y'}^{-1}(\beta_M(e')^{-1}) \\
= \beta_N(e)^{-1}n_y(e^{e'})\beta_N(e) \\
= n_y(e^{e'})^{\beta_N(e)},
\]

thus proving (i).

As for (ii), we have that for all \( a \in (A_1)_x \) and any \( x \in V(\Gamma_1) \)

\[ NM(a) = n_x'(m_x(a)) = m_x^{-1}(m_x(a)) = a, \]
the identity element in \((A_1)_x\). A similar calculation will yield that \(MN(a') = a'\) for all \(a' \in (A_2)_{x'}\) and any \(x' \in V(\Gamma_2)\). As for the respective edges, if \(e \in E(\Gamma_1)\), then

\[
N[M(e)] = N[\alpha_M(e)m(e)\beta_M(e)^{-1}]
\]

\[
= n_{x'}(\alpha_M(e))\alpha_N(m(e))n(m(e))\beta_N(m(e))n_{y'}(\beta_M(e)^{-1})
\]

\[
= n_{x'}(\alpha_M(e))\alpha_N(e')n(e')\beta_N(e')n_{y'}(\beta_M(e)^{-1})
\]

\[
= m_{x^{-1}}(\alpha_M(e))m_{x^{-1}}(\alpha_M(e)^{-1})em_{y^{-1}}(\beta_M(e)^{-1})m_{y^{-1}}(\beta_M(e))
\]

\[
= e.
\]

Again, a similar calculation also yields that \(M[N(e')] = e'\) for all \(e' \in E(\Gamma_2)\). Thus, since we have shown that \(NM\) and \(MN\) are the respective identity maps on the generators, we have that \(NM = Id\) and \(MN = Id\), proving (ii) above.

**Definition 5.11 (Equivalent coverings).** Two coverings \(F_i: (\Gamma_i, A_i) \rightarrow (\Delta, B)\), \(i = 1, 2\), of a graph of groups \((\Delta, B)\) are **equivalent** if there exists an isomorphism of graphs of groups \(M: (\Gamma_1, A_1) \rightarrow (\Gamma_2, A_2)\) such that \(F_2M\) and \(F_1\) are conjugate maps.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
(G_1, A_1) \xrightarrow{- - - - - M} (G_2, A_2) \\
\downarrow F_1 \quad \downarrow F_2 \\
(\Delta, B)
\end{array}
\]

This defines an equivalence relation on the set of coverings of \((\Delta, B)\). It is symmetric since if \(F_2M\) is conjugate to \(F_1\), say by elements \(b_x \in B_{f_1(x)}\) for \(x \in V(\Gamma_1)\), then \(F_1M^{-1}\) is conjugate to \(F_2\) by the elements \(b_{m(x)} = b_x^{-1} \in B_{f_2(m(x))} = B_{f_1(x)}\). In fact, this relation projects to an equivalence relation on the set of conjugacy classes of coverings of \((\Delta, B)\). The following routine exercises justify this claim:
Let \( F, F' : (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B) \) and \( G, G' : (\Sigma, C) \to (\Gamma, A) \) be pairs of conjugate maps of graphs of groups. Then

1. the compositions \( FG, F'G' : (\Sigma, C) \to (\Delta, B) \) are also conjugate. Hence there is a well-defined composition of conjugacy classes given by \([F][G] = [FG]\).

2. If \( G \) is an isomorphism, then \( G' \) is also an isomorphism.

3. If \( F \) is a covering map, then \( F' \) is also a covering map.

4. Let \( F_i : (\Gamma_i, A_i) \to (\Delta, B) \) be a covering map, \( i = 1, 2 \). Then \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) are equivalent coverings if and only if there exists an isomorphism \( M : (\Gamma_1, A_1) \to (\Gamma_2, A_2) \) such that \([F_2][M] = [F_1]\).

To see (1), first note that it can be easily verified that \( FG \) is defined as follows:

(i) \( fg : \Sigma \to \Delta \) is the map of graphs;

(ii) \( f_{g(x)}g_x : C_x \to B_{fg(x)} \) is the vertex homomorphism for all \( x \in V(\Sigma) \); and

(iii) \( \alpha_{FG}(e) = f_{g(x)}(\alpha_G(e))\alpha_F(g(e)) \) for all \( e \in E(\Sigma) \).

\( FG \) as defined above yields the following for all \( c \in C_x \) where \( x \in V(\Sigma) \) and for all \( e \in E(\Sigma) \) such that \( s(e) = x \) and \( t(e) = y \):

- \( FG(c) = f_{g(x)}g_x(c) \), and
- \( FG(e) = f_{g(x)}(\alpha_G(e))\alpha_F(g(e))fg(e)\beta_F(g(e))^{-1}fg(g(e))^{-1}f_{g(y)}(\beta_G(e^{-1})) \).

Now, we need elements \( b_{fg(x)} \in B_{fg(x)} \) such that \( F'G'(c) = FG(c)^{b_{fg(x)}} \) and \( \alpha_{FG}(e) = b_{fg(x)}^{-1}\alpha_{FG}(e) \). We claim that \( b_{fg(x)} = f_{g(x)}(a_x)b_{g(x)} \). In order to see this, first we note
In addition, 

\[ F'G'(c) = f'_{g(x)}(g'_x(c)) \]

\[ = f_{g(x)}(g_x(c)^{a_x})^{b_{g(x)}} \]

\[ = b_{g(x)}^{-1} f_{g(x)}(a_x^{-1}) f_{g(x)}(g_x(c)) f_{g(x)}(a_x) b_{g(x)} \]

\[ = f_{g(x)}(g_x(c)) f_{g(x)}(a_x) b_{g(x)} \]

\[ = F G(c)^{b_{f g(x)}}. \]

Therefore, \( F'G' \) is conjugate to \( FG \) whenever \( F' \) is conjugate to \( F \) and \( G' \) is conjugate to \( G \).

As for (2), the proof is an easy application of the discussion at the beginning of this chapter. Note that since \( G \) is an isomorphism of graphs of groups we have that \( g' = g \) is an isomorphism of graphs and \( g'_x = ad(a_x)g_x \) is an isomorphism of groups with \( g' x^{-1}(a) = g_x^{-1}(a^{a_x^{-1}}) \) for all \( a \in A \) and \( x' \in V(\Gamma) \) where \( g(x) = x' \). Lastly, for
all $c \in C_e$, where $e \in E(\Sigma)$, the map $c \mapsto g'_x(c)^{\alpha G'(e)} = g_x(c)^{\alpha_G(e)}$ is an isomorphism $C_e \to A_{e'}$ with inverse $a \mapsto g_x^{-1}(a^{\alpha_G(e)^{-1}})$ for all $a \in A_{e'}$ where $g(e) = e'$. Thus, $G'^{-1}$ exists and is defined as follows:

(i) $g'^{-1} = g^{-1} : \Gamma \to \Sigma$ is the map of graphs;
(ii) $g_{x'}^{-1}(a) = g_x^{-1}(a^{x^{-1}})$ for all $a \in A_{e'}$ where $g(x) = x'$; and
(iii) $\alpha_{G'^{-1}}(e') = g_{x'}^{-1}(\alpha_G(e))^{-1} = g_x^{-1}(a_x a_G(e))^{-1}$ for all $e' \in E(\Gamma)$ where $g(e) = e'$.

With regard to (3), we need to show that

(i) $f'_x : A_x \to B_{f(x)} = B_{f(x)}$ is injective, and
(ii) $F'_x : L_x(\Gamma, A) \to L_{f(x)} = L_{f(x)}$ is bijective.

In order to see (i), let $a_1, a_2 \in A_x$ for some $x \in V(\Gamma)$ such that $f'_x(a_1) = f'_x(a_2)$. Then, by the definition of $f'_x$ we have that $f_x(a_1)^{b_x} = f_x(a_2)^{b_x}$ for some $b_x \in B_{f(x)}$. But, this implies that $f_x(a_1) = f_x(a_2)$ and we immediately have that $a_1 = a_2$ since $F$ is a covering.

As for the injectivity of the map induced on the links in (ii), suppose that $(a_1 A_{e_1}, e_1), (a_2 A_{e_2}, e_2) \in L_x(\Gamma, A)$ such that $F'_x(a_1 A_{e_1}) = F'_x(a_2 A_{e_2})$. Then, we have that

$$ (f'_x(a_1)\alpha'(e_1)B_{f'(e_1)}, f'(e_1)) = (f'_x(a_2)\alpha'(e_2)B_{f'(e_2)}, f'(e_2)) $$

which implies that

$$ (f_x(a_1)^{b_x} b_x^{-1} \alpha(e_1)B_{f(e_1)}, f(e_1)) = (f_x(a_2)^{b_x} b_x^{-1} \alpha(e_2)B_{f(e_2)}, f(e_2)) $$

by the definitions of $f'$, $f'_x$, and $\alpha'$. The above step yields that $f(e_1) = f(e_2)$ and

$$ b_x^{-1} f_x(a_1) \alpha(e_1)B_{f(e_1)} = b_x^{-1} f_x(a_2) \alpha(e_2)B_{f(e_2)}. $$
Thus, we have that

\[ f(x(a_1) \alpha(e_1) B_{f(e_1)} = f(x(a_2) \alpha(e_2) B_{f(e_2)}) \]

yielding the fact that \( F_x(a_1 A_{e_1}, e_1) = F_x(a_2 A_{e_2}, e_1) \). Hence, the injectivity of \( F_x \) implies that \((a_1 A_{e_1}, e_1) = (a_2 A_{e_2}, e_2)\) and we have that \( F'_x \) is indeed injective.

As for the surjectivity of \( F'_x \), let \((bB_e, e) \in L_{f'((x))} = L_{f(x)}\). Then, \((b_x bB_e, e) \in L_{f'_x(x)} = L_{f(x)}\) as well. Now, since \( F_x \) is surjective, there exists \((aA_{\bar{e}}, \bar{e}) \in L_x\) such that \( F_x(aA_{\bar{e}}, \bar{e}) = (b_x bB_e, e)\). Thus, we have that

\[ (f_x(a) \alpha(\bar{e}) B_{f(\bar{e})}, f(\bar{e})) = (b_x bB_e, e) \]

which implies that

\[ (b_x f'_x(a) \alpha'(\bar{e}) B_e, e) = (b_x bB_e, e). \]

From this equality, we see that \( b_x f'_x(a) \alpha'(\bar{e}) B_e = b_x bB_e \) yielding that \( f'_x(a) \alpha'(\bar{e}) B_e = bB_e \). Thus, \((aA_{\bar{e}}, \bar{e}) \in L_x\) such that \( F'_x(aA_{\bar{e}}, \bar{e}) = (bB_e, e)\) and we have that \( F_x \) is surjective. The proof of (4) is left to the reader.

**Theorem 5.12.** Two coverings \( F_i: (\Gamma_i, A_i) \to (\Delta, B) \) with \( \Gamma_i \) connected, \( i = 1, 2 \), are equivalent if and only if, for some pair of vertices \( x_1 \in V(\Gamma_1) \) and \( x_2 \in V(\Gamma_2) \) such that \( f_1(x_1) = f_2(x_2) \), we have that \([F_1 \pi(\Gamma_1, A_1, x_1)]^{b_0} = F_2 \pi(\Gamma_2, A_2, x_2)\) for some element \( b_0 \in B_{f_i(x_i)}\).

**Proof.** Suppose \( F_1, F_2 \) are equivalent coverings and let \( M: (\Gamma_1, A_1) \to (\Gamma_2, A_2) \) be an isomorphism such that \( F_2 M \) and \( F_1 \) are conjugate maps. Choose any vertex \( x_1 \) of \( \Gamma_1 \) and let \( x_2 = m(x_1) \). Then by Lemma 5.9, \([F_1 \pi(\Gamma_1, A_1, x_1)]^{b_0} = (F_2 M) \pi(\Gamma_1, A_1, x_1)\) for some \( b_0 \in B_{f_i(x_i)}\). However \((F_2 M) \pi(\Gamma_1, A_1, x_1) = F_2 \pi(\Gamma_2, A_2, x_2)\) since \( M \) is an isomorphism.
Conversely, assume that the condition holds for vertices $v_i \in V(\Gamma_i)$ and $b_0 \in B_{f_i(x_i)}$. Then by Theorem 5.10, there exists a map of graph of groups $M_1: (\Gamma_1, A_1) \to (\Gamma_2, A_2)$ such that $m_1(x_1) = x_2$ and $F_2 M_1$ is conjugate to $F_1$. Similarly, there exists a map of graph of groups $M_2: (\Gamma_2, A_2) \to (\Gamma_1, A_1)$ such that $m_2(x_2) = x_1$ and $F_1 M_2$ is conjugate to $F_2$. We claim that $M_1$ (likewise, $M_2$) is an isomorphism.

The composition $M_2 M_1$ is such that $F_1(M_2 M_1)$ is conjugate to $F_1$ since, by the exercise (1) above, $(F_1 M_2) M_1$ is conjugate to $F_2 M_1$ which is conjugate to $F_1$; and $(m_2 m_1)(x_1) = x_1$. However the identity map $\text{Id}$ on $(\Gamma_1, A_1)$ also has this property. So, by the uniqueness part of Theorem 5.10, $M_2 M_1$ and $\text{Id}$ are conjugate maps; whence $M_2 M_1$ is an isomorphism by the exercise (2) above. Therefore $M_1$ has a left inverse, namely $(M_2 M_1)^{-1} M_2$.

Similarly, it follows that $M_1 M_2$ is an isomorphism and we see that $M_2(M_1 M_2)^{-1}$ is a right inverse of $M_1$. Then, by a standard argument, $(M_2 M_1)^{-1} M_2 = M_2 (M_1 M_2)^{-1} = M_1^{-1}$ and $M_1$ is an isomorphism. Hence $F_1$ and $F_2$ are equivalent covering maps. \[\square\]

5.5. Existence of coverings

There is a correspondence between connected coverings of a graph of groups $(\Delta, B)$ and subgroups of the fundamental group $\pi(\Delta, B, y)$, for any vertex $y$ of $\Delta$, which is completely analogous to the situation for coverings of topological spaces.

**Theorem 5.13** (Existence of coverings). If $F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)$ is a covering of graphs of groups and $x$ is any vertex of $\Gamma$ with $f(x) = y$, then the induced homomorphism

$$F: \pi(\Gamma, A, x) \to \pi(\Delta, B, y)$$

is a monomorphism. Furthermore, if $\Delta$ is connected and $H$ is any subgroup of $\pi(\Delta, B, y)$, then there exists a covering $F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)$ where $\Gamma$ is connected,
and a vertex $x$ of $\Gamma$ such that $f(x) = y$ and $F_{\pi(\Gamma, A, x)} = H$; and any two such coverings are equivalent.

**Proof.** The first part is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.7. A proof of the second part is given in Section 8.2. The third part is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.12. \qed
CHAPTER 6

THE #-CONSTRUCTION AND PROOF OF GENERAL LIFTING

First we introduce a convenient construction (that we call the “sharp-construction” or #-construction) and see that it leads to an alternative way of describing conjugate maps.

6.1. The #-construction

Given a graph of groups \((\Gamma, A)\), we form a graph of groups \((\Gamma^{\#}, A)\) with an embedded copy of \((\Gamma, A)\) as follows. For each \(x \in V(\Gamma)\), let \(I_x\) be a non-empty index set. Then \(V(\Gamma^{\#})\) consists of the vertices of \(\Gamma\) together with a vertex \(x_i^x\) for each \(x \in V(\Gamma)\) and each \(i \in I_x\). The edge set \(E(\Gamma^{\#})\) consists of the edges of \(\Gamma\) (with the same sources and targets) together with an edge denoted \([x, x_i^x]\) from \(x\) to \(x_i^x\) (and its inverse), for each \(x \in V(\Gamma)\) and each \(i \in I_x\). Denote the set of new vertices \(x_i^x\) by \(V^{\#}(\Gamma)\) and the set of new edges \([x, x_i^x]\) by \(E^{\#}(\Gamma)\) so that \(V(\Gamma^{\#}) = V(\Gamma) \cup V^{\#}(\Gamma)\) and \(E(\Gamma^{\#}) = E(\Gamma) \cup E^{\#}(\Gamma)\), disjoint unions. We require that the groups and monomorphisms associated to \(\Gamma^{\#}\) agree with those assigned by \(A\) on \(\Gamma\), and that the trivial group be assigned to each new vertex \(x_i^x\) and edge \([x, x_i^x]\). (Since we are extending the definition of \(A\) in this trivial way, we use the notation \((\Gamma^{\#}, A)\) and do not use \(A^{\#}\).) We call \((\Gamma^{\#}, A)\) a trivial expansion of \((\Gamma, A)\).

There is an obvious “projection” \(\Psi\) from \(\mathcal{P}(\Gamma^{\#}, A)\) onto \(\mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A)\) that fixes every path in \(\mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A)\) and whose underlying “projection” \(\psi: \Gamma^{\#} \to \Gamma\) fixes \(\Gamma\) and collapses
each new edge \([x, x_i^\sharp] \) to its source vertex \(x\).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{P}(\Gamma^\sharp, A) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathcal{P}(\Gamma, A) \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
\Gamma^\sharp \quad \xrightarrow{\psi} \Gamma
\end{array}
\]

It should be noted that \(\psi\) is not a map of graphs (as it maps new edges to vertices), and thus \(\Psi\) is not a map of graphs of groups. However, it does map homotopic paths to homotopic paths, and thus induces a homomorphism \(\Psi: \pi(\Gamma^\sharp, A) \to \pi(\Gamma, A)\). Furthermore, each section \(\phi\) of the vertex mapping \(\psi: V^\sharp(\Gamma) \to V(\Gamma)\) determines a splitting \(\Phi: \pi(\Gamma, A) \to \pi(\Gamma^\sharp, A)\) of \(\Psi\) given by \(\Phi([P]) = [x, \phi(x)]^{-1}[P][y, \phi(y)]\), where \(P\) is a path in \((\Gamma, A)\) with source \(x\) and target \(y\).

Now let \(G: (\Sigma, C) \to (\Gamma, A)\) be a map of graphs of groups and let \((\Sigma^\sharp, C), (\Gamma^\sharp, A)\) be trivial expansions of \((\Sigma, C), (\Gamma, A)\). Then \(G\) can be extended to a map of graphs of groups \(G^\sharp: (\Sigma^\sharp, C) \to (\Gamma^\sharp, A)\) in precisely the following ways: Extend the underlying map of graphs \(g: \Sigma \to \Gamma\) to a map \(g^\sharp: \Sigma^\sharp \to \Gamma^\sharp\) by choosing for each new edge \([x, x_i^\sharp] \in E^\sharp(\Sigma)\) a new edge \(g^\sharp([x, x_i^\sharp]) \in E^\sharp(\Gamma)\) with source \(g(x)\). This completely determines the map \(g^\sharp\). Then to define \(G^\sharp\) with underlying map of graphs \(g^\sharp\) and extending \(G\), it is enough to define edge labels for the new edges of \(\Sigma^\sharp\). Since all new vertex and edge groups are trivial, for each \([x, x_i^\sharp] \in E^\sharp(\Sigma)\) we can choose an arbitrary element \(\alpha^\sharp([x, x_i^\sharp]) \in A_{g(x)} = A_{g^\sharp(x)}\) as edge label. Of course, \(\beta^\sharp([x, x_i^\sharp]) = 1\) as \(A_{g^\sharp(x)} = 1\).

The following simple observation says that each extension of \(G\) to a map \(G^\sharp: (\Sigma^\sharp, C) \to (\Gamma^\sharp, A)\) corresponds to a conjugate of the map \(G\).

**Lemma 6.1.** With the setup above, \(G\) is conjugate to a map \(G'\) if and only if there exists an extension of \(G\) to \(G^\sharp: (\Sigma^\sharp, C) \to (\Gamma^\sharp, A)\) such that \(G' = \Psi G^\sharp \Phi\), where \(\Psi: \pi(\Gamma^\sharp, A) \to \pi(\Gamma, A)\) is the natural projection and \(\Phi\) is a splitting of the natural projection \(\pi(\Sigma^\sharp, C) \to \pi(\Sigma, C)\).
PROOF. Let $G^\sharp: (\Sigma^\sharp, C) \to (\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ be an extension of $G$ and let $G'$ be the map of graphs of groups determined by the composition

$$\pi(\Sigma, C) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \pi(\Sigma^\sharp, C) \xrightarrow{G^\sharp} \pi(\Gamma^\sharp, A) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \pi(\Gamma, A).$$

For each $x \in V(\Sigma)$, let $x^\sharp = \phi(x)$ and write $a_x = \alpha^\sharp([x, x^\sharp])$. Then for each path $P$ in $(\Sigma, A)$, $\Phi([P]) = [x, x^\sharp][P][y, y^\sharp]$ where $x = s(P)$ and $y = t(P)$. In particular, if $c \in C_x$ is a path of trivial length, then $\Phi(c) = [x, x^\sharp]^{-1}c[x, x^\sharp]$ and $G^\sharp(\Phi(c)) = [g(x), g^\sharp(x^\sharp)]^{-1}a_x^{-1}g_x(c)a_x[g(x), g^\sharp(x^\sharp)]$ and $\Psi(G^\sharp(\Phi(c))) = a_x^{-1}g_x(c)a_x$. It follows that the homomorphism $g_x^\sharp: C_x \to A_{g(x)}$ is given by $g_x^\sharp(c) = g_x(c)a_x$.

Similarly, if $e \in E(\Sigma)$ say with $s(e) = x$ and $t(e) = y$, then $\Phi(e) = [x, x^\sharp]^{-1}e[y, y^\sharp]$ and

$$G^\sharp(\Phi(e)) = [g(x), g^\sharp(x^\sharp)]^{-1}a_x^{-1}\alpha(e)g(e)\beta(e)^{-1}a_y[g(y), g^\sharp(y^\sharp)]$$

and hence, $\Psi(G^\sharp(\Phi(e))) = a_x^{-1}\alpha(e)g(e)\beta(e)^{-1}a_y$. It follows that edge labels for $G'$ are given by $\alpha'(e) = a_x^{-1}\alpha(e)$. It follows that $G'$ is the conjugate of $G$ by the $a_x$'s.

Conversely, assume that $G'$ is the map obtained by conjugating $G$ by elements $a_x \in A_{g(x)}, x \in V(\Sigma)$. As noted above, we can construct an extension $G^\sharp$ of $G$ such that $\alpha^\sharp([x, x^\sharp]) = a_x$ for each $x \in V(\Sigma)$; let $g^\sharp: \Sigma^\sharp \to \Gamma^\sharp$ be any extension of $g$ and let $\alpha^\sharp([x, x^\sharp]) \in A_{g(x)}$ be arbitrarily chosen for all other new edges $[x, x^\sharp] \in E^\sharp(\Sigma)$. Then the first part of the proof shows that $\Psi G^\sharp \Phi = G'$, as required. \hfill $\Box$

Note that the image of the homomorphism $\Phi: \pi(\Sigma, C) \to \pi(\Sigma^\sharp, C)$ consists only of homotopy classes of paths joining vertices of $V^\sharp(\Sigma)$ (i.e., new vertices). Denote the subcategory of all paths in $P(\Sigma^\sharp, C)$ with sources and targets in $V^\sharp(\Sigma)$ by $P^\sharp(\Sigma^\sharp, C)$. If $G^\sharp: (\Sigma^\sharp, C) \to (\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ is an extension of $G: (\Sigma, C) \to (\Gamma, A)$, then the induced mapping $G^\sharp: P(\Sigma^\sharp, C) \to P(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ obviously restricts to a mapping of $P^\sharp(\Sigma^\sharp, C)$ to $P^\sharp(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$; we denote this restriction mapping by $P^\sharp(G^\sharp)$.
The following is another useful way to characterize conjugate maps of graphs of groups.

**Lemma 6.2.** Two maps of graphs of groups \( G_1, G_2 : (\Sigma, C) \to (\Gamma, A) \) are conjugates of one another if and only if there exist extensions \( G^*_1, G^*_2 : (\Sigma^2, C) \to (\Gamma^*, A) \) of \( G_1, G_2 \) such that \( \mathcal{P}^*(G^*_1) = \mathcal{P}^*(G^*_2) \).

**Proof.** Assume that \( G_2 \) is the conjugate of \( G_1 \) by elements \( a_x \in A_g(x), x \in V(\Sigma) \), where \( g = g_1 = g_2 \) is the underlying map of graphs of \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \). Let \( G^*_1 : (\Sigma^2, C) \to (\Gamma^*, A) \) be any extension of \( G_1 \). Then define an extension \( G^*_2 : (\Sigma^2, C) \to (\Gamma^*, A) \) of \( G_2 \), with the same underlying map of graphs \( g^* \), by letting \( \alpha^*_i([x, x^*_i]) = a_x^{-1} \alpha_i([x, x_i]) \) for each new edge \([x, x^*_i] \in E^*(\Sigma)\). To show that \( \mathcal{P}^*(G^*_1) = \mathcal{P}^*(G^*_2) \), let \( P \) be a path in \( (\Gamma, A) \) and let \([x, x^*_i], [y, y^*_j] \in E^*(\Sigma)\), where \( x = s(P) \) and \( y = t(P) \). Write \( P^* = [x, x^*_i]^{-1}P[y, y^*_j] \in \mathcal{P}^*(\Sigma^2, C) \). Then

\[
G^*_2(P^*) = g^*([x, x^*_i])^{-1} \alpha^*_2([x, x^*_i])^{-1}G_2(P) \alpha^*_2([y, y^*_j])g^*([y, y^*_j])
\]

\[
= g^*([x, x^*_i])^{-1}\alpha_1^*([x, x^*_i])^{-1}a_g G_2(P) a_y^{-1} \alpha_1^*([y, y^*_j])g^*([y, y^*_j])
\]

\[
= g^*([x, x^*_i])^{-1}\alpha_1^*([x, x^*_i])^{-1}G_1(P) \alpha_1^*([y, y^*_j])g^*([y, y^*_j])
\]

\[
= G^*_1(P^*)
\]

as \( G_2(P) = a_x^{-1}G_1(P) a_y \) by the proof of Lemma 5.9. Since \( \mathcal{P}^*(\Sigma^2, C) \) is generated by paths of the form \( P^* \), it follows that \( \mathcal{P}^*(G^*_1) = \mathcal{P}^*(G^*_2) \).

Conversely, assume that \( G^*_1, G^*_2 \) are extensions of \( G_1, G_2 \) such that \( \mathcal{P}^*(G^*_1) = \mathcal{P}^*(G^*_2) \). For each \( x \in V(\Sigma) \), choose a vertex \( x^* \in V^*(\Sigma) \) adjacent to \( x \). For \( c \in C_x \), write \( c^* = [x, x^*]^{-1}c[x, x^*] \). Then \( c^* \in \mathcal{P}^*(\Sigma^2, C) \) and

\[
G^*_1(c^*) = g^*_i([x, x^*])^{-1} \alpha^*_i([x, x^*])^{-1}(g_{i0})_x(c) \alpha^*_i([x, x^*])y^*_i([x, x^*]).
\]
Thus $\alpha_1^\sharp([x, x^\sharp])^{-1}(g_1)_x(c)\alpha_1^\sharp([x, x^\sharp]) = \alpha_2^\sharp([x, x^\sharp])^{-1}(g_2)_x(c)\alpha_2^\sharp([x, x^\sharp])$ so that $(g_2)_x(c) = (g_1)_x(c)^{a_x}$, where $a_x = \alpha_1^\sharp([x, x^\sharp])\alpha_2^\sharp([x, x^\sharp])^{-1}$.

Similarly, for $e \in E(\Sigma)$, consider the path $e^\sharp = [x, x^\sharp]^{-1}[y, y^\sharp] \in P^\sharp(\Gamma^\sharp, C)$ where $x = s(e)$ and $y = t(e)$. Then

$$G_i^\sharp(e^\sharp) = g_i^\sharp([x, x^\sharp])^{-1}\alpha_i^\sharp([x, x^\sharp])^{-1}\alpha_i(e)g(e)\beta_i(e)^{-1}\alpha_i^\sharp([y, y^\sharp])g_i^\sharp([y, y^\sharp]).$$

It follows that

$$\alpha_1^\sharp([x, x^\sharp])^{-1}\alpha_1(e)g(e)\beta_1(e)^{-1}\alpha_1^\sharp([y, y^\sharp])$$

$$\equiv \alpha_2^\sharp([x, x^\sharp])^{-1}\alpha_2(e)g(e)\beta_2(e)^{-1}\alpha_2^\sharp([y, y^\sharp])$$

and hence, the edge labels on $e$ can be adjusted so that

$$\alpha_1^\sharp([x, x^\sharp])^{-1}\alpha_1(e) = \alpha_2^\sharp([x, x^\sharp])^{-1}\alpha_2(e).$$

That is, we may assume that $\alpha_2(e) = a_x^{-1}\alpha_1(e)$ for all $e \in E(\Sigma)$. Thus $G_1, G_2$ are conjugate maps.

The final observation we wish to make here is that the $\sharp$-construction is compatible with covering maps, in the following sense.

**Lemma 6.3.** If $F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)$ is a covering map of graphs of groups, and if $(\Delta^\sharp, B)$ is a trivial expansion of $(\Delta, B)$, then there exists a trivial expansion $(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ of $(\Gamma, A)$ and an extension of $F$ which is a covering map $F^\sharp: (\Gamma^\sharp, A) \to (\Delta^\sharp, B)$.

**Proof.** We consider only the simplest case in which associated to each vertex $x$ of $\Delta$, there is only a single new vertex $x^\sharp$ and thus also a single new edge $[x, x^\sharp]$ (and its inverse) in $\Delta^\sharp$. The general case is similar.

Construct $(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ as follows. For each $x \in V(\Gamma)$, choose a transversal $T_x$ for the right cosets of the subgroup $f_x(A_x)$ in $B_{f(x)}$; so $B_{f(x)} = f_x(A_x)T_x$ and every $b \in B_{f(x)}$
is uniquely of the form $b = f_x(a)b'$, where $a \in A_x$ and $b' \in T_x$. We assume, as we may, that $1 = 1_{B_f(x)} \in T_x$ for all $x \in V(\Gamma)$. Let $(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ be the associated trivial expansion given by the $\sharp$-construction. That is, for each $x \in V(\Gamma)$ and each $b \in T_x$, there is a new vertex $x_b^\sharp$ and a new edge $[x, x_b^\sharp]$ (and its inverse) adjoined to $\Gamma$, to which $A$ associates the trivial group.

It remains to define an extension $F^\sharp_x: (\Gamma^\sharp, A) \to (\Delta^\sharp, B)$ of $F$ which is also a covering map. First note that there is only one extension $f^\sharp: \Gamma^\sharp \to \Delta^\sharp$ of the underlying map of graphs, namely the map satisfying $f^\sharp([x, x_b^\sharp]) = [f(x), f(x)^\sharp]$. Then let $F^\sharp_x$ be the extension of $F$ determined by the edge labels $\alpha^\sharp([x, x_b^\sharp]) = b$ for each new edge $[x, x_b^\sharp]$ in $\Gamma^\sharp$. We claim that $F^\sharp_x$ is a local bijection.

Note that for $x \in V(\Gamma)$, it is enough to show that $F^\sharp_x: L_x(\Gamma^\sharp, A) \to L_{f(x)}(\Delta^\sharp, B)$ is a bijection on the new parts of these links, since we already know that $F_x$, and hence $F^\sharp_x$, is a bijection on the old part. The new elements of $L_x(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ are of the form $(aA_{[x, x_b^\sharp]}, [x, x_b^\sharp]) = (a, [x, x_b^\sharp])$, for $a \in A_x$, $b \in T_x$, and $F^\sharp_x(a, [x, x_b^\sharp]) = (f_x(a)\alpha^\sharp([x, x_b^\sharp]), f^\sharp([x, x_b^\sharp])) = (f_x(a)b, [f(x), f(x)^\sharp])$.

Suppose $a_1, a_2 \in A_x$ and $b_1, b_2 \in T_x$ are such that $F^\sharp_x(a_1, [x, x_{b_1}^\sharp]) = F^\sharp_x(a_2, [x, x_{b_2}^\sharp])$. Then $f_x(a_1)b_1 = f_x(a_2)b_2$. However, $T_x$ is a transversal for $f_x(A_x)$ in $B_{f(x)}$, so $b_1 = b_2$ and $f_x(a_1) = f_x(a_2)$. Moreover, $f_x$ is injective since $F$ is a covering map. It follows that $(a_1, [x, x_{b_1}^\sharp]) = (a_2, [x, x_{b_2}^\sharp])$ and hence, $F^\sharp_x$ is injective.

Now let $(b, [f(x), f(x)^\sharp])$ be a new element of $L_{f(x)}(\Delta^\sharp, B)$. Since $b \in B_{f(x)}$, it is (uniquely) a product $b = f_x(a)b'$, where $a \in f_x(A_x)$ and $b' \in T_x$. Then $(a, [x, x_{b'}^\sharp]) \in L_x(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ and $F^\sharp_x(a, [x, x_{b'}^\sharp]) = (b, [f(x), f(x)^\sharp])$. Hence $F^\sharp_x$ is surjective.

We have shown that $F^\sharp$ is locally bijective at each old vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$. At each new vertex $x^\sharp \in V(\Gamma^\sharp)$, $L_{x^\sharp}(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ consists of a single element and clearly $F^\sharp_x$ is also locally bijective at these vertices. □
6.2. Proof of general lifting

We turn now to the proof of Theorem 5.10. The proof is long and consists of lots of delicate verifications. In order to make it more manageable, we have broken it down into a number of small steps.

6.2.1. Necessity of the lifting criterion. Suppose that \( \tilde{G} \) exists. Then by Lemma 5.9, there exists \( b_0 \in B_{f(u)} \) such that \( [G \pi(\Sigma, C, v)]^{b_0} = (F \tilde{G}) \pi(\Sigma, C, v) \subseteq F \pi(\Gamma, A, u) \); and we see that the condition is necessary for the existence of \( \tilde{G} \). For the remainder of the proof, assume conversely that this condition holds. By replacing \( G \) by a conjugate map, we may assume that \( b_0 = 1 \) and hence, we will assume that \( G \pi(\Sigma, C, v) \subseteq F \pi(\Gamma, A, u) \).

6.2.2. Form a trivial expansion. Let \((\Sigma^\sharp, C), (\Delta^\sharp, B)\) be the trivial expansions of \((\Sigma, C), (\Delta, B)\) with a single new vertex \( x^\sharp \) adjacent to each old vertex \( x \). Apply Lemma 6.3 to get a covering map \( F^\sharp: (\Gamma^\sharp, A) \to (\Delta^\sharp, B) \) which is an extension of \( F \). Let \( g^\sharp: \Sigma^\sharp \to \Delta^\sharp \) be the unique extension of the underlying map of graphs \( g: \Sigma \to \Delta \) such that \( g^\sharp([x, x^\sharp]) = [g(x), g(x)^\sharp] \) for each \( x \in V(\Sigma) \). Then let \( G^\sharp: (\Sigma^\sharp, C) \to (\Delta^\sharp, B) \) be the the trivial extension of \( G \) with underlying map of graphs \( g^\sharp \), i.e., the extension with all new edge labels trivial. Note that \( g^\sharp(v^\sharp) = g(v)^\sharp = f(u)^\sharp = f^\sharp(u_1^\sharp) \), where the subscript \( 1 = 1_{B_{f(u)}} \in T_{f(u)} \) by our convention on the transversal. Also note that every loop in \((\Sigma^\sharp, C)\) at \( v^\sharp \) is homotopic to a loop of the form \([v, v^\sharp]^{-1}P[v, v^\sharp]\), where \( P \) is a loop in \((\Sigma, C)\) based at \( v \), and \( G^\sharp([v, v^\sharp]^{-1}P[v, v^\sharp]) = [g(v), g(v)^\sharp]^{-1}G(P)[g(v), g(v)^\sharp] \). Similarly, every loop in \((\Gamma^\sharp, A)\) at \( u_1^\sharp \) is homotopic to a loop of the form \([u, u_1^\sharp]^{-1}Q[u, u_1^\sharp]\), where \( Q \) is a loop in \((\Gamma, A)\) based at \( u \), and \( F^\sharp([u, u_1^\sharp]^{-1}Q[u, u_1^\sharp]) = [f(u), f(u)^\sharp]^{-1}F(Q)[f(u), f(u)^\sharp] \). It follows that

\[
G \pi(\Sigma, C, v) \subseteq F \pi(\Gamma, A, u) \iff G^\sharp \pi(\Sigma^\sharp, C, v^\sharp) \subseteq F^\sharp \pi(\Gamma^\sharp, A, u_1^\sharp).
\]
6.2.3. If \( P \) and \( Q \) are two paths in \( \mathcal{P}^z(\Sigma^z, C) \) from the base vertex \( v^z \) to a vertex \( x^z \), and if \( \tilde{G}^z(P) \), \( \tilde{G}^z(Q) \) are the unique lifts of \( G^z(P) \), \( G^z(Q) \) starting at \( u_1^z \), then \( \tilde{G}^z(P) \) and \( \tilde{G}^z(Q) \) have the same target vertex. Thus, denoting this common target vertex by \( \tilde{g}^z(x^z) \), we get a well-defined mapping \( \tilde{g}^z : V^z(\Sigma) \to V^z(\Gamma) \) of new vertices such that \( \tilde{g}^z(v^z) = u_1^z \) and \( f^z\tilde{g}^z = g^z \).

Since \( \mathcal{P}^z(F^z) : \mathcal{P}^z(\Gamma^z, A) \to \mathcal{P}^z(\Delta^z, B) \) has the unique path-lifting property and homotopy lifting property by Theorems 5.5 and 5.7, we can proceed analogously as in the topological theory of covering spaces. Let \( y^z \) be the target of the path \( \tilde{G}^z(P) \) and let \( \tilde{R} \) be the unique lift of \( G^z(Q)^{-1} \) with source vertex \( y^z \). Then \( \tilde{G}^z(P)\tilde{R} \) is a path in \((\Gamma^z, A)\) and

\[
F^z(\tilde{G}^z(P)\tilde{R}) = G^z(P)G^z(Q)^{-1} = G^z(PQ^{-1}).
\]

By our assumption that \( G^z \pi(\Sigma^z, C, v^z) \subseteq F^z \pi(\Gamma^z, A, u_1^z) \), there exists a loop \( \tilde{S} \) in \((\Gamma^z, A)\) based at \( u_1^z \) such that \( F^z(\tilde{S}) \simeq G^z(PQ^{-1}) \). By the homotopy lifting property, \( \tilde{G}^z(P)\tilde{R} \simeq \tilde{S} \). Since \( \tilde{S} \) is a loop, likewise \( \tilde{G}^z(P)\tilde{R} \) must be a loop based at \( u_1^z \). We see that \( \tilde{R}^{-1} \) is a path in \((\Gamma^z, A)\) starting at \( u_1^z \) and \( F^z(\tilde{R}^{-1}) = F^z(\tilde{R})^{-1} = G^z(Q) \). So by the unique path-lifting property, \( \tilde{R}^{-1} = \tilde{G}^z(Q) \). Therefore the target of \( \tilde{G}^z(Q) \) is equal to \( s(\tilde{R}) = y^z \), i.e., the same as the target of \( \tilde{G}^z(P) \).

The mapping \( x^z \mapsto y^z \) defined in the previous paragraph thus gives a well-defined map \( \tilde{g}^z : V^z(\Sigma) \to V^z(\Gamma) \) on new vertices.

6.2.4. General lifting for \( \mathcal{P}^z(F^z) : \mathcal{P}^z(\Gamma^z, A) \to \mathcal{P}^z(\Delta^z, B) \).
There exists a unique homomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp) : \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\Sigma^\sharp, C) \to \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ whose underlying map of vertices takes $v^\sharp$ to $u_1^\sharp$ and $\mathcal{P}^\sharp(F^\sharp)\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp) = \mathcal{P}^\sharp(G^\sharp)$. Furthermore, $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)$ preserves homotopy classes of paths and thus induces a homomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp) : \pi^\sharp(\Sigma^\sharp, C) \to \pi^\sharp(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$, where $\pi^\sharp(\Sigma^\sharp, C)$ is the sub-groupoid of $\pi(\Sigma^\sharp, C)$ consisting of all homotopy classes of paths with sources and targets in $V^\sharp(\Sigma)$ and similarly for $\pi^\sharp(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$.

To construct this lifted homomorphism (i.e., functor), first observe that the mapping $x^\sharp \mapsto y^\sharp$ defined in Step 3 gives a well-defined map $\tilde{g}^\sharp : V^\sharp(\Sigma) \to V^\sharp(\Gamma)$ on new vertices (the map of objects of the small categories). Note that $f^\sharp(\tilde{g}^\sharp(x^\sharp)) = g^\sharp(x^\sharp)$ for all $x^\sharp \in V^\sharp(\Sigma)$.

Then for each path $P \in \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\Sigma^\sharp, C)$ from $x_1^\sharp$ to $x_2^\sharp$, define $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)(P) = G^\sharp(P)$, the unique lift of $G^\sharp(P)$ in $\mathcal{P}^\sharp(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ with source vertex $\tilde{g}^\sharp(x_1^\sharp)$ (given by Theorem 5.5). To see that the target of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)(P)$ is $\tilde{g}^\sharp(x_2^\sharp)$, note that $\Sigma$ being connected implies that $\Sigma^\sharp$ is also connected. So there is a path $Q$ in $(\Sigma^\sharp, C)$ from $v^\sharp$ to $x_1^\sharp$. By Step 3, the unique lift $\tilde{G}^\sharp(Q)$ of $G^\sharp(Q)$ with source $u_1^\sharp = \tilde{g}^\sharp(v^\sharp)$ has target $\tilde{g}^\sharp(x_1^\sharp)$. Hence $\tilde{G}^\sharp(Q)\tilde{G}^\sharp(P)$ is the unique lift of $G^\sharp(QP)$ starting at $u_1^\sharp$; its target, which is also the target of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)(P)$, is $\tilde{g}^\sharp(x_2^\sharp)$ by Step 3. Therefore $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)(P)$ is a path in $(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ from $\tilde{g}^\sharp(x_1^\sharp)$ to $\tilde{g}^\sharp(x_2^\sharp)$ (giving the required mapping of morphisms of the small categories).

To see that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)$ is a homomorphism, let $P$ be a path from $x_1^\sharp$ to $x_2^\sharp$ and let $Q$ be a path from $x_2^\sharp$ to $x_3^\sharp$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)(P) \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)(Q)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)(PQ)$ are both lifts of $G^\sharp(PQ)$ starting at $\tilde{g}^\sharp(x_1^\sharp)$. Thus, by Lemma 5.3, $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)(P) \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)(Q) = \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)(PQ)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)$ is a homomorphism (i.e., functor of small categories).

The uniqueness of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)$ also follows easily from Lemma 5.3. And our final claim that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^\sharp(G^\sharp)$ preserves homotopy classes of paths follows immediately from Theorem 5.7.
6.2.5. Existence of $\tilde{G}$. Consider the composition of homomorphisms

$$
\pi(\Sigma, C) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \pi^2(\Sigma^2, C) \xrightarrow{\tilde{P}^2(G^2)} \pi^2(\Gamma^2, A) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \pi(\Gamma, A)
$$

where $\Psi$ is the natural projection, $\Phi$ is the canonical splitting map of the natural projection $\pi(\Sigma^2, C) \to \pi(\Sigma, C)$, and $\tilde{P}^2(G^2)$ is the homomorphism constructed in Step 4. Note that this composite homomorphism maps $V(\Sigma, C)$, $E(\Sigma, C)$ to $V(\Gamma, A)$, $E(\Gamma, A)$ and thus is a map of graphs of groups; denote it by $\tilde{G}: (\Sigma, C) \to (\Gamma, A)$, $\tilde{G} = \Psi \tilde{P}^2(G^2) \Phi$. We claim that $\tilde{G}$ is a lift of $G$ (up to conjugation) with $\tilde{g}(v) = u$.

First note that the underlying map of graphs is given on vertices by $\psi \tilde{g}^2 : V(\Sigma) \to V(\Gamma)$. So $\tilde{g}(v) = \psi(\tilde{g}^2(\phi(v))) = \psi(\tilde{g}^2(v^2)) = \psi(u^2_1) = u$. Note also that the underlying map of graphs $\tilde{g}: \Sigma \to \Gamma$ has a unique extension $\tilde{g}^2: \Sigma^2 \to \Gamma^2$ such that $\tilde{g}^2(x^2) = \tilde{g}^2(x^2)$ for each new vertex $x^2 \in V^2(\Sigma)$, where $\tilde{g}^2: V^2(\Sigma) \to V^2(\Gamma)$ is the underlying (object) mapping of $\tilde{P}^2(G^2)$ constructed in Step 3.

Next we let $(\tilde{G})^2: (\Sigma^2, C) \to (\Gamma^2, A)$ be the trivial extension of $G$ with underlying map of graphs $\tilde{g}^2$; and verify that $(\tilde{G})^2 \Phi = \tilde{P}^2(G^2) \Phi$. To see this, let $P$ be a path in $(\Sigma, C)$. Then simply note that $\Phi([P]) = [P^2]$, where $P^2 = [x, x^2]^{-1}P[y, y^2]$ for $x = s(P)$ and $y = t(P)$, and that

$$(\tilde{G})^2(P^2) = \tilde{g}^2([x, x^2])^{-1} \tilde{G}(P) \tilde{g}^2([y, y^2])$$

$$\simeq \tilde{g}^2([x, x^2])^{-1} \Psi \left( \tilde{P}^2(G^2)(P^2) \right) \tilde{g}^2([y, y^2])$$

$$= \tilde{P}^2(G^2)(P^2).$$

From our previous observation, Step 4, and the fact that $G^2$ is a trivial extension of $G$, it follows that

$$\Psi \left( F^2(\tilde{G})^2 \right) \Phi = \Psi F^2 \tilde{P}^2(G^2) \Phi = \Psi P^2(G^2) \Phi = G.$$
However, $F^\sharp(\tilde{G})^\sharp$ is an extension of $F\tilde{G}$; so $F\tilde{G}$ and $G$ are conjugate maps by Lemma 6.1.

Suppose $H: (\Sigma, C) \to (\Gamma, A)$ is a map of graphs of groups and let $G = FH$. Then for each extension $G^\sharp: (\Sigma^\sharp, C) \to (\Delta^\sharp, B)$ of $G$, there exists an extension $H^\sharp: (\Sigma^\sharp, C) \to (\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ of $H$ such that $F^\sharp H^\sharp = G^\sharp$.

Recall that to define the extension $H^\sharp$ it is enough to specify an extension $h^\sharp: \Sigma^\sharp \to \Gamma^\sharp$ of the underlying map of graphs $h$ and to specify an element $\alpha^\sharp_H([x, x^\sharp]) \in A_h(x)$ for each $x \in V(\Sigma)$. We proceed as follows: for each $x \in V(\Sigma)$, write the edge label $\alpha^\sharp_G([x, x^\sharp]) \in B_{g(x)}$ as its unique product $\alpha^\sharp_G([x, x^\sharp]) = f_h(x)(a)b$, where $a \in A_h(x)$ and $b \in T_{h(x)}$. Then define

$$h^\sharp(x^\sharp) = h(x)^\sharp_{h(x)}, \quad h^\sharp([x, x^\sharp]) = [h(x), h(x)^\sharp_{h(x)}], \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha^\sharp_H([x, x^\sharp]) = a.$$

Then we see that $f^\sharp h^\sharp = g^\sharp$, the unique extension of the underlying map of graphs $g$ of $G$, and that for each $x \in V(\Sigma)$,

$$F^\sharp(H^\sharp([x, x^\sharp])) = F^\sharp(a[h(x), h(x)^\sharp_{h(x)}])$$

$$= f_h(x)(a)\alpha^\sharp_{F^\sharp}(h(x), h(x)^\sharp_{h(x)})[g(x), g(x)^\sharp]$$

$$= f_h(x)(a)b[g(x), g(x)^\sharp]$$

$$= \alpha^\sharp_G([x, x^\sharp])[g(x), g(x)^\sharp] = G^\sharp([x, x^\sharp])$$
Both being extensions of $G$, it follows that $F^\sharp H^\sharp = G^\sharp$, as required.

6.2.6. **Uniqueness of $\tilde{G}$**. Let $\tilde{G}_1, \tilde{G}_2: (\Sigma, C) \to (\Gamma, A)$ be maps of graphs of groups and let $G_i = FG_i, i = 1, 2$. If $G_1, G_2$ are conjugate maps, then $\tilde{G}_1, \tilde{G}_2$ are also conjugate maps.

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(\Sigma, C)} \xrightarrow{G_1, G_2} \text{(\Delta, B)} \\
\tilde{G}_1, \tilde{G}_2 \xrightarrow{F} (\Gamma, A)
\end{array}
$$

First use Lemma 6.2 to get extensions $G_1^\sharp, G_2^\sharp$ of $G_1, G_2$ such that $P^\sharp(G_1^\sharp) = P^\sharp(G_2^\sharp)$. Then use Step 5 to get extensions $\tilde{G}_1^\sharp, \tilde{G}_2^\sharp$ of $\tilde{G}_1, \tilde{G}_2$ such that $F^\sharp \tilde{G}_i^\sharp = G_i^\sharp$ for $i = 1, 2$. By the uniqueness part of Step 4, it must be that $P^\sharp(\tilde{G}_1^\sharp) = P^\sharp(\tilde{G}_2^\sharp)$. Thus

$$
\Psi \tilde{G}_1^\sharp \Phi = \Psi \left( P^\sharp(\tilde{G}_1^\sharp) \right) \Phi = \Psi \left( P^\sharp(\tilde{G}_2^\sharp) \right) \Phi = \Psi \tilde{G}_2^\sharp \Phi.
$$

However, by Lemma 6.1, $\Psi \tilde{G}_i^\sharp \Phi$ is conjugate to $\tilde{G}_i$ and hence $\tilde{G}_1$ and $\tilde{G}_2$ are conjugate to one another. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.10.

We list some consequences of the theorem and its proof that will be useful later. In what follows, for $i = 1, 2$, assume that $F_i: (\Gamma_i, A_i) \to (\Delta, B)$ is a covering map and let $F_i^\sharp: (\Gamma_i^\sharp, A_i) \to (\Delta^\sharp, B)$ be a covering map which is an extension of $F_i$ to trivial expansions, where $\Delta^\sharp$ has only a single new vertex $x^\sharp$ adjacent to each old vertex $x \in V(\Delta)$.

**Corollary 6.4.** Suppose $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$ are connected and let $v_1^\sharp, v_2^\sharp$ be new vertices of $\Gamma_1^\sharp, \Gamma_2^\sharp$ such that $f_1^\sharp(v_1^\sharp) = f_2^\sharp(v_2^\sharp)$. Then there exists a homomorphism $H: P^\sharp(\Gamma_1^\sharp, A_1) \to P^\sharp(\Gamma_2^\sharp, A_2)$ such that $h(v_1^\sharp) = v_2^\sharp$ and $F_2^\sharp H = F_1^\sharp$ if and only if $F_1^\sharp \pi(\Gamma_1^\sharp, v_1^\sharp) \subseteq F_2^\sharp \pi(\Gamma_2^\sharp, A_2, v_2^\sharp)$; and if $H$ exists, it is unique.
Corollary 6.5. If $G: (\Gamma_1, A_1) \to (\Gamma_2, A_2)$ is a map of graphs of groups such that $F_2G = F_1$, then there exists an extension $G^\sharp: (\Gamma_1^\sharp, A_1) \to (\Gamma_2^\sharp, A_2)$ of $G$ such that $F_2^\sharp G^\sharp = F_1^\sharp$. 
CHAPTER 7

COVERING TRANSFORMATIONS AND REGULAR COVERINGS

In order to obtain comparable results to that in the theory of topological covering spaces, we incorporate the $\sharp$-construction in our definition of covering transformations. As before, given a covering map $F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)$ of graphs of groups, let $(\Delta^\sharp, B)$ be the trivial expansion with a single new vertex $x^\sharp$ adjacent to each vertex $x \in V(\Delta)$. Then use Lemma 6.3, to construct a trivial expansion $(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ and covering map $F^\sharp: (\Gamma^\sharp, A) \to (\Delta^\sharp, B)$ extending $F$.

**Definition 7.1.** A covering transformation of $F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)$ is an automorphism $M: \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\Gamma^\sharp, A) \to \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ such that $F^\sharp M = F^\sharp$.
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**Theorem 7.2.** Suppose $\Gamma$ is connected and let $v_1^\sharp, v_2^\sharp \in f^{-1}(x^\sharp)$, where $x^\sharp$ is a new vertex in $V^\sharp(\Delta)$. Then there exists a covering transformation $M: \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\Gamma^\sharp, A) \to \mathcal{P}^\sharp(\Gamma^\sharp, A)$ such that $m(v_1^\sharp) = v_2^\sharp$ if and only if $F^\sharp \pi(\Gamma^\sharp, A, v_1^\sharp) = F^\sharp \pi(\Gamma^\sharp, A, v_2^\sharp)$; and if $M$ exists, it is unique.
Proof. This follows immediately from Corollary 6.4 by a standard argument; see, for example, [4, Corollary 5.6.5]. □

Using the unique path lifting and homotopy lifting properties, we can make the fiber $f^x(x)$ over any new vertex $x \in V(\Gamma)$ into a right $\pi(\Delta^x, B, x^x)$-space in the following standard way. For each $v_1^x \in f^x(x)$ and $[P] \in \pi(\Delta^x, B, x^x)$, let $\tilde{P}$ be the unique lift of $P$ with source $v_1^x$ given by Theorem 5.5. Since the target of $P$ is also equal to $x$, the target of $\tilde{P}$ is some vertex $v_2^x \in f^x(x)$. Furthermore, the vertex $v_2^x$ does not depend on the representative path taken in the homotopy class $[P]$ by Theorem 5.7. Hence, it makes sense to define $v_1^x \cdot [P] = v_2^x$. It is easily verified that this defines a right group action of $\pi(\Delta^x, B, x^x)$ on the fiber $f^x(x)$; and by the same arguments as for topological covering spaces we see that the $\pi(\Delta^x, B, x^x)$-space $f^x(x)$ satisfies the following properties:

1. The stabilizer of $v \in f^x(x)$ is the subgroup $F^x \pi(\Gamma^x, A, v^x)$.
2. Covering transformations act as $\pi(\Delta^x, B, x^x)$-space automorphisms on $f^x(x)$.
3. If $\Gamma$ is connected, then $\pi(\Delta^x, B, x^x)$ acts transitively on $f^x(x)$.

We define regular coverings of graphs of groups in the same way as for topological covering spaces: A covering $F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)$ of graphs of groups, where $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ are connected graphs, is called regular if $F \pi(\Gamma, A, v)$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi(\Delta, B, f(v))$. By the same argument as for coverings of path connected topological spaces, this condition is independent of the choice of $v \in V(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 7.3. If $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ are connected, then the following conditions are equivalent:

1. $F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)$ is a regular covering;
2. $F^x: (\Gamma^x, A) \to (\Delta^x, B)$ is a regular covering;
(3) the group of covering transformations acts transitively on the fiber $f^z^{-1}(x^z)$ for each $x^z \in V^z(\Delta)$.

**Proof.** (1) $\iff$ (2): Let $v \in V(\Gamma)$ and note that every loop $P^z$ in $(\Delta^z, B)$ based at $f(v)$ is homotopic to a loop $P$ in $(\Delta, B)$ based at $f(v)$. Thus

$$\pi(\Delta, B, f(v)) \cong \pi(\Delta^z, B, f(v)).$$

Under this isomorphism, $F(\pi(\Gamma, A, v))$ corresponds to $F^z(\pi(\Gamma^z, A, v))$. Hence,

$$F(\pi(\Gamma, A, v)) \circ \pi(\Delta, B, f(v)) \iff F^z(\pi(\Gamma^z, A, v)) \circ \pi(\Delta^z, B, f(v)).$$

Therefore, (1) $\iff$ (2).

(2) $\iff$ (3): Let $v^z \in f^z^{-1}(x^z)$ and $[P] \in \pi(\Delta^z, B, x^z)$. Then it is easy to see that $F^z(\pi(\Gamma^z, A, v^z \cdot [P])) = [F^z(\pi(\Gamma^z, A, v^z))]^P$. So, by Theorem 7.2, there exists a covering transformation $M: \mathcal{P}^z(\Gamma^z, A) \to \mathcal{P}^z(\Gamma^z, A)$ such that $m(v^z) = v^z \cdot [P]$ if and only if

$$[F^z(\pi(\Gamma^z, A, v^z))]^P = F^z(\pi(\Gamma^z, A, v^z)).$$

The equivalence of (2) and (3) now follows since $\pi(\Delta^z, B, x^z)$ acts transitively on $f^z^{-1}(x^z)$, as $\Gamma$ is connected. \[\square\]

**Theorem 7.4.** If $F: (\Gamma, A) \to (\Delta, B)$ is a regular covering of connected graphs of groups, then the group of covering transformations is isomorphic to the quotient group $\pi(\Delta, B, f(v))/F \pi(\Gamma, A, v)$ for any vertex $v$ of $\Gamma$.

**Proof.** Let $x = f(v)$. For each $[P] \in \pi(\Delta^z, B, f(v)^z)$, observe that by Lemma 7.3 (3), there is a covering transformation $M_{[P]}$ such that $m_{[P]}(v^z) = v^z \cdot [P]$; and $M_{[P]}$ is
unique by Theorem 7.2. If \([P], [Q] \in \pi(\Delta^{\sharp}, B, x^{\sharp})\), then by properties listed above

\[
(m_{[P]}m_{[Q]})(v^{\sharp}) = m_{[P]}(v^{\sharp} \cdot [Q]) = m_{[P]}(v^{\sharp}) \cdot [Q] = (v^{\sharp} \cdot [P]) \cdot [Q] = v^{\sharp} \cdot ([P][Q]) = m_{[P][Q]}(v^{\sharp}).
\]

Thus \(M_{[P]}M_{[Q]} = M_{[P][Q]}\) by the uniqueness part of Theorem 7.2. That is, \([P] \mapsto M_{[P]}\) is a homomorphism from \(\pi(\Delta^{\sharp}, B, x^{\sharp})\) to the group of covering transformations. This homomorphism is onto since \(\pi(\Delta^{\sharp}, B, x^{\sharp})\) acts transitively on \(f^{\sharp-1}(x^{\sharp})\) and its kernel is the stabilizer subgroup of \(v^{\sharp}\) which is \(F^{\sharp} \pi(\Gamma^{\sharp}, A, v^{\sharp})\). Hence the group of covering transformations is isomorphic to \(\pi(\Delta^{\sharp}, B, x^{\sharp}) / F^{\sharp} \pi(\Gamma^{\sharp}, A, v^{\sharp})\). This latter quotient group is isomorphic to \(\pi(\Delta, B, x) / F \pi(\Gamma, A, v)\) by a standard translation of base-point isomorphism, and the result is established. \(\square\)
CHAPTER 8

A GENERALIZED BASS-SERRE THEORY

8.1. Covering maps associated to group actions

We begin by giving a method of constructing a graph of groups and covering map associated to a given group action (by graph automorphisms) of a group $G$ on a graph $\Gamma$ (acting on the left). We always assume, without further mention, that all group actions are without edge inversions; that is, for all $g \in G$ and all $e \in E(\Gamma)$, $g \cdot e \neq e$. Then there is a unique way of making the quotient $\Gamma / G$ into a graph such that the quotient map $g : \Gamma \to \Gamma / G$ is a map of graphs. It is often more convenient for our purposes to also make $G$ act on the right of $\Gamma$ using the equivalent right action defined by

$$x \cdot g = g^{-1} \cdot x$$

for all $x$ in $\Gamma$ and $g \in G$. With this convention, we will sometimes write group elements on the left and other times on the right, whichever is more suitable at the time.

Choose a section $\sigma$ of the orbit map $g : \Gamma \to \Gamma / G$ with the property that for all $e \in E(\Gamma / G)$,

$$s(\sigma(e)) = \sigma(s(e)).$$

(In many treatments of Bass-Serre theory, the choice of section is required to correspond to a connected fundamental domain, which is done by first lifting a maximal tree. However this is unnecessary, so we do not go to that length.) Notice that for each $e \in E(\Gamma / G)$, the edges $\overline{\sigma(e)}$ and $\sigma(\overline{e})$ are in the same $G$-orbit. Thus, we can
choose an element $\lambda(e) \in G$ such that

$$\overline{\sigma(e)} \cdot \lambda(e) = \sigma(\overline{e}).$$

We require, as we may, that $\lambda(\overline{e}) = \lambda(e)^{-1}$.

Using $\sigma: \Gamma/G \to \Gamma$ and $\lambda: E(\Gamma/G) \to G$, we construct a graph of groups $(\Gamma/G, G, \sigma)$ with underlying graph $\Gamma/G$ as follows. For each $g$ in $\Gamma/G$, let

$$(G_{\sigma}g = G_{\sigma(y)} \text{ (the stabilizer subgroup}).$$

Since $G$ acts without inversions, for each $e \in E(\Gamma/G)$, we see that $(G_{\sigma})_e = G_{\sigma(e)} \subseteq G_{\sigma(s(e))} = (G_{\sigma})_{s(e)}$; and we define the monomorphism $(G_{\sigma})_e \to (G_{\sigma})_{t(e)}$ via $a \mapsto a^e$

where

$$a^e = a^{\lambda(e)} = \lambda(e)^{-1}a\lambda(e).$$

Note that

$$G_{\sigma(e)}^e = G_{\sigma(e)}^{\lambda(e)} = G_{\sigma(e)}\lambda(e) = G_{\overline{\sigma(e)}\lambda(e)} = G_{\sigma(\overline{e})} \subseteq G_{\sigma(s(\overline{e}))} = G_{\sigma(t(e))}$$

and hence the image of $a \mapsto a^e$ is indeed in $G_{\sigma(t(e))}$.

Now let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. Then the orbit map $g: \Gamma \to \Gamma/G$ factors through the orbit map $h: \Gamma \to \Gamma/H$ via a unique map of graphs $f: \Gamma/H \to \Gamma/G$.

Restricting to the action of $H$ on $\Gamma$, choose a section $\tau$ of the orbit map $h: \Gamma \to \Gamma/H$ and elements $\mu(e) \in H$, for all $e \in E(\Gamma/H)$, such that

$$\mu(\overline{e}) = \mu(e)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\tau(e)} \cdot \mu(e) = \tau(\overline{e}).$$

Then construct a graph of groups $(\Gamma/H, H, \tau)$ from this data, as above.
Theorem 8.1. There exists a commutative diagram of covering maps

\[ \Gamma \xrightarrow{H} G \]

\[ \xrightarrow{F} (\Gamma/H,H_\tau) \longrightarrow (\Gamma/G,G_\sigma) \]

such that the underlying maps of graphs of $F$, $G$, $H$ are $f$, $g$, $h$; and when $\Gamma$ is connected, $F: (\Gamma/H,H_\tau) \to (\Gamma/G,G_\sigma)$ is a regular covering if and only if $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, in which case the group of covering transformations is isomorphic to $G/H$.

Note that we are denoting the covering map from $\Gamma$ to its quotient graph of groups modulo $G$ also by the symbol $G$. There should be no confusion caused by doing this as it will always be clear from context which meaning of $G$ is intended, and similarly for $H$.

Proof. The proof is given in several parts.

8.1.1. Construction of the covering map $F$. We define a map of graphs of groups $F: (\Gamma/H,H_\tau) \to (\Gamma/G,G_\sigma)$ with underlying map of graphs $f: \Gamma/H \to \Gamma/G$ as follows. For $x \in V(\Gamma/H)$, $\tau(x)$ and $\sigma(f(x))$ are in the same $G$-orbit of vertices of $\Gamma$. So, there exists $b_x \in G$ such that

\[ \tau(x) \cdot b_x = \sigma(f(x)). \]

We do the same thing for the edges of $\Gamma/H$, but in a way that is compatible with $\lambda$ and $\mu$, by first choosing an orientation $E^+(\Gamma/H)$ of the graph $\Gamma/H$. Then for each $e \in E^+(\Gamma/H)$, choose $b_e \in G$ such that

\[ \tau(e) \cdot b_e = \sigma(f(e)). \]
and let $b_\tau = \mu(e)^{-1}b_e\lambda(f(e))$. Note that

$$\tau(\tau) \cdot b_\tau = \tau(\tau) \cdot \mu(e)^{-1}b_e\lambda(f(e))$$

$$= \tau(e) \cdot b_e\lambda(f(e))$$

$$= (\tau(e) \cdot b_e) \cdot \lambda(f(e))$$

$$= \sigma(f(e)) \cdot \lambda(f(e))$$

$$= \sigma(f(e)) = \sigma(f(\tau))$$

and that $b_e = \mu(e)b_\tau\lambda(f(e))^{-1} = \mu(\tau)^{-1}b_\tau\lambda(f(\tau))$.

To summarize, for every $z \in \Gamma/H$ (vertex or edge), we have chosen an element $b_z \in G$ such that the following two properties hold:

1. $\tau(z) \cdot b_z = \sigma(f(z))$;
2. if $z \in E(\Gamma/H)$, then $b_\tau = \mu(z)^{-1}b_z\lambda(f(z))$.

We use these choices of group elements (that translate between the two choices of sections) to define the vertex homomorphisms and edge labels that determine $F$.

For $x \in V(\Gamma/H)$, define the homomorphism $f_x : H_{\tau(x)} \to G_{\sigma(f(x))}$ by $f_x(a) = a^{b_x}$.

Note that

$$f_x(H_{\tau(x)}) = H_{\tau(x)}^{b_x} = H_{\tau(x)}b_x = H_{\sigma(f(x))} \subseteq G_{\sigma(f(x))},$$

as required; and also note that $f_x$ is injective.

Define edge labels $\alpha_F = \alpha : E(\Gamma/H) \to V(\Gamma/G,G_\sigma)$ by $\alpha(e) = b_e^{-1}b_{\tau}$, where $x = s(e)$. Note that $\beta(e) = \alpha(\tau) = b_y^{-1}b_\tau = b_y^{-1}\mu(e)^{-1}b_e\lambda(f(e))$, where $y = t(e)$.  
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Then for all \( e \in E(\Gamma/H) \) with \( s(e) = x \), \( t(e) = y \), and all \( c \in H_{\tau(e)} \), we check that

\[
\sigma(f(e)) \cdot f_x(c)^{\alpha(e)} = \sigma(f(e)) \cdot c^{b_x \alpha(e)}
\]

\[
= \sigma(f(e)) \cdot b_e^{-1} c b_e
\]

\[
= \tau(e) \cdot c b_e
\]

\[
= \tau(e) \cdot b_e = \sigma(f(e)),
\]

yielding that \( f_x(c)^{\alpha(e)} \in G_{\sigma(f(e))} \) and thus, one of the required conditions of Lemma 3.3 holds. To verify the other condition, observe further that

\[
f_x(c)^{\alpha(e)} f(e) = c^{b_x \alpha(e) \lambda(f(e))}
\]

\[
= c^{b_x \lambda(f(e))}
\]

\[
= c^{\mu(e) \beta(e)}
\]

\[
= (c^e)^{\beta(e)} = f_y(c^e)^{\beta(e)}.
\]

Thus, applying Lemma 3.3, we obtain a map of graphs of groups \( F: (\Gamma/H, H) \to (\Gamma/G, G) \). We claim that \( F \) is a covering map.

For \( x \in V(\Gamma/H) \), define

\[\tau_x: L_x(\Gamma/H, H) \to L_{\tau(x)}(\Gamma)\]

by \( \tau_x(aH_{\tau(e)}, e) = \tau(e) \cdot a^{-1} \). Since \( s(e) = x \), \( a \in H_{\tau(x)} \), and \( s(\tau(e)) = \tau(s(e)) = \tau(x) \), it follows that

\[s(\tau(e) \cdot a^{-1}) = s(\tau(e)) \cdot a^{-1} = \tau(x) \cdot a^{-1} = \tau(x),\]
and hence $\tau(e) \cdot a^{-1} \in L_{\tau(x)}(\Gamma)$. The reader will note here that $\tau_x$ is a bijection as well. Similarly, for $y \in V(\Gamma/G)$, define

$$\sigma_y : L_y(\Gamma/G, G_\sigma) \to L_{\sigma(y)}(\Gamma)$$

by $\sigma_y(bG_\sigma(e), e) = \sigma(e) \cdot b^{-1}$. The map $\sigma_y$ is also a bijection.

Observe that for $(aH_{\tau(e)}, e) \in L_x(\Gamma/H, H_\tau)$ and $y = f(x)$, we have that

$$\sigma_y(F_x(aH_{\tau(e)}, e)) = \sigma_y(f_x(a)\alpha(e)G_\sigma(f(e)), f(e))$$

$$= \sigma(f(e)) \cdot [f_x(a)\alpha(e)]^{-1}$$

$$= \sigma(f(e)) \cdot \alpha(e)^{-1}f_x(a^{-1})$$

$$= \sigma(f(e)) \cdot \alpha(e)^{-1}b_x^{-1}a^{-1}b_x$$

$$= \sigma(f(e)) \cdot b_x^{-1}a^{-1}b_x$$

$$= \tau(e) \cdot a^{-1}b_x$$

$$= \tau_x(aH_{\tau(e)}, e) \cdot b_x.$$ 

Thus, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
L_{\tau(x)}(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{b_x} & L_{\sigma(y)}(\Gamma) \\
\tau_x \uparrow & & \uparrow \sigma_y \\
L_x(\Gamma/H, H_\tau) & \xrightarrow{F_x} & L_y(\Gamma/G, G_\sigma)
\end{array}$$

It follows that $F_x$ is a composition of bijections, and hence, is itself a bijection. Therefore, $F$ is a covering map.

**8.1.2. Construction of the covering maps $H$ and $G$.** These are constructed as special cases of the construction used for $F$, which is similar to the construction of Bass [1]. We construct $H$ first, applying our construction to $h : \Gamma \to \Gamma/H$ using $\text{id} : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ and $\tau : \Gamma/H \to \Gamma$ for the choices of sections. For each vertex or edge
$z \in \Gamma$, we choose an elements $a_z \in H$ (translating between the sections) such that the following properties hold:

1. $z \cdot a_z = \tau(h(z))$;
2. if $z \in E(\Gamma)$, then $a_\tau = a_z \mu(h(z))$.

Then applying our construction to this case gives us a covering map $H : \Gamma \to (\Gamma/H, H_\tau)$ with underlying map of graphs $h : \Gamma \to \Gamma/H$.

Now for each $z \in \Gamma$, let $b_z = a_z b_{h(z)} \in G$, where $a_z$, $b_{h(z)}$ are the choices of translating elements used in defining $H$, $F$. Note that for all $z \in \Gamma$,

1. $z \cdot b_z = \sigma(g(z))$; and
2. if $z \in E(\Gamma)$, then $b_\tau = b_z \lambda(g(z))$.

For (1), we have that

$$z \cdot b_z = z \cdot a_z b_{h(z)}$$
$$= (z \cdot a_z) \cdot b_{h(z)}$$
$$= \tau(h(z)) \cdot b_{h(z)}$$
$$= \sigma(f(h(z)))$$
$$= \sigma(g(z)).$$

With regard to (2), we need to show that

$$\tau \cdot b_\tau = \sigma(g(\tau)).$$
To this end,

\[ z \cdot b_z = z \cdot b_z \lambda(g(z)) \]
\[ = z \cdot b_z \cdot \lambda(g(z)) \]
\[ = \sigma(g(z)) \cdot \lambda(g(z)) \]
\[ = \sigma(g(z)) \]
\[ = \sigma(g(z)). \]

Hence, these elements satisfy the required properties of translating elements for constructing a covering map \( G : \Gamma \to (\Gamma/G, G_\sigma) \) with underlying map of graphs \( g : \Gamma \to \Gamma/G \) (where the sections are \( \text{id} : \Gamma \to \Gamma \) and \( \sigma : \Gamma/G \to \Gamma \)). Furthermore, by our choice of translating elements such that \( b_z = a_z b_{h(z)} \), for each \( z \in \Gamma \), it follows that \( FH = G \), as desired.

\textbf{8.1.3. Expanding the group action using the \( \sharp \)-construction.} We show that our \( \sharp \)-construction is compatible with the action of \( G \) on \( \Gamma \). First form the trivial expansion of \( (\Gamma/G, G_\sigma) \) with a single new vertex \( y^\sharp \) adjacent to each old vertex \( y \) of \( \Gamma/G \). Then form \( \Gamma^\sharp \) so that the fiber over each new vertex \( y^\sharp \in V^\sharp(\Gamma/G) \) is a copy of the group \( G \) by taking

\[ V^\sharp(\Gamma) = \{ y^\sharp_b \mid y \in V(\Gamma/G) \text{ and } b \in G \} \]

and take \([b\sigma(y), y^\sharp_b]\) as the new edge attaching each new vertex \( y^\sharp_b \). Observe that the action of \( G \) on \( \Gamma \) extends to an action on \( \Gamma^\sharp \) in an obvious way (by left multiplication): for all \( b_1, b_2 \in G \) and \( y \in V(\Gamma/G) \),

\[ b_1 \cdot y^\sharp_{b_2} = y^\sharp_{b_1 b_2} \text{ and } b_1 \cdot [b_2 \sigma(y), y^\sharp_{b_2}] = [b_1 b_2 \sigma(y), y^\sharp_{b_1 b_2}] \]
Also observe that $\Gamma^z/G = (\Gamma/G)^z$ and that we have a commutative diagram of graphs and maps:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma^z & \xrightarrow{h^z} & \Gamma^z/G \\
\downarrow{g^z} & & \\
\Gamma^z/H \xrightarrow{f^z} & \Gamma^z/G
\end{array}
\]

and the quotient maps $h^z$, $g^z$, $f^z$ are extensions of $h$, $g$, $f$.

Next we apply the constructions in 8.1.1 and 8.1.2 to this expanded situation. By taking some care in how we extend the sections $\tau$, $\sigma$ to the trivial expansions $\Gamma^z/H$, $\Gamma^z/G$ and in how we choose the translating elements between these sections, we can ensure that the covering maps constructed agree with the earlier construction given in Lemma 6.3. To begin, choose a right transversal $T_x$ for the subgroup $f_x(H\tau(x))$ of $G_{\sigma(f(x))}$ for each $x \in V(\Gamma/H)$. As always, we assume that the identity element of $G_{\sigma(f(x))}$ is in $T_x$. The following observation is key.

**Lemma 8.2.** Let $b \in G$ and $y \in V(\Gamma/G)$. Then there exists a unique triple of elements $a' \in H$, $x \in V(\Gamma/H)$, $b' \in T_x$ such that $f(x) = y$ and

$$b = a'b_xb'.$$

**Proof.** Existence: Let $x = h(b\sigma(y))$. Then $f(x) = g(b\sigma(y)) = y$ and $\tau(x)$ is in the same $H$-orbit as $b\sigma(y)$. So there exists $a \in H$ such that $a\tau(x) = b\sigma(y)$. Thus $b\sigma(f(x)) = a\tau(x) = ab_x\sigma(f(x))$ and we see that $b = ab_xb_0$ for some $b_0 \in G_{\sigma(f(x))}$. Write $b_0 = f_x(a_0)b'$, where $a_0 \in H_{\tau(x)}$ and $b' \in T_x$. Then $b = ab_xf_x(a_0)b' = a_0b_xb' = a'b_xb'$, where $a' = a_0b_x \in H$, $x \in V(\Gamma/H)$, and $b' \in T_x$, as required.

Uniqueness: Suppose $a'' \in H$, $x' \in V(\Gamma/H)$, $b'' \in T_{x'}$ is another such triple. Note that $b\sigma(y) = a'b_xb'\sigma(f(x)) = a'b_x\sigma(f(x)) = a'\tau(x)$ and likewise that $b\sigma(y) = a''\tau(x')$. So $x = h(a'\tau(x)) = h(b\sigma(y)) = h(a''\tau(x')) = x'$ and $a'\tau(x) = a''\tau(x)$. Thus $(a'')^{-1}a' \in$
$H_{\tau(x)}$. Now $a'b_xb' = b = a''b_xb''$ and hence

$$b'' = b_x^{-1}a_0b_xb' = f_x(a_0)b'$$

where $a_0 = (a'')^{-1}a' \in H_{\tau(x)}$ and $b', b'' \in T_x$. However, $T_x$ is a right transversal for $f_x(H_{\tau(x)}) \leq G_{\sigma(f(x))}$ and $f_x$ is injective. So $b' = b''$ and $a_0 = 1$; whence also $a' = a''$ and uniqueness follows.

If follows immediately from the lemma that every $H$-orbit of vertices of $\Gamma^x$ contains a unique vertex of the form $f(x)^b_{b_xb'}$, where $x \in V(\Gamma/H)$ and $b' \in T_x$; we identify this orbit with $x^x_{b'}$ and define $\tau(x^x_{b'}) = f(x)^{b_xb'}_{b_xb'}$. Similarly, each $H$-orbit of edges (directed from old vertices to new vertices) contains a unique edge of the form $[b_x\sigma(f(x)), f(x)^b_{b_xb'}] = [\tau(x), f(x)^{b_xb'}_{b_xb'}]$, where $x \in V(\Gamma/H)$ and $b' \in T_x$; identify this orbit with $[x, x^x_{b'}]$ and define $\tau([x, x^x_{b'})^{\pm1}] = [\tau(x), f(x)^{b_xb'}_{b_xb'}]^{\pm1}$. In this way, we have identified $\Gamma^x/H$ with $(\Gamma/H)^x$ and extended $\tau$ to a section of the obit map $h^x: \Gamma^x \to \Gamma^x/H$. Since $\tau([x, x^x_{b'})^{-1}] = \tau([x, x^x_{b'})^{-1}$, we can take $\mu([x, x^x_{b'}) = 1$ on new edges.

Extend $\sigma$ to new vertices and edges by $\sigma(y^x) = y^x_1$ and $\sigma([y, y^x])^{\pm1} = [\sigma(y), y^x_1]^{\pm1}$, where subscripts $1 = 1_G$. Since $\sigma([y, y^x])^{-1} = \sigma([y, y^x])^{-1}$, we can take $\lambda([y, y^x]) = 1$ on new edges.

Since $G$ acts freely on the new vertices and edges of $\Gamma^x$, the trivial group is assigned by $G_{\sigma}, H_{\tau}$ to each new vertex and new edge. It follows that $(\Gamma^x/G, G_{\sigma}), (\Gamma^x/H, H_{\tau})$ are trivial expansions of $(\Gamma/G, G_{\sigma}), (\Gamma/H, H_{\tau})$. To define $F^x: (\Gamma^x/G, G_{\sigma}) \to (\Gamma^x/H, H_{\tau})$, it remains to choose the translating elements between the sections $\tau$ and $\sigma$ for each new vertex $x^x_{b'}$ and new edge $[x, x^x_{b'}]$ of $\Gamma^x/H$. For this, we take $b(x^x_{b'}) = b([x, x^x_{b'}])^{\pm1} = b_xb' \in G$. Note that $\tau(x^x_{b'}) \cdot b(x^x_{b'}) = \sigma(f^x(x^x_{b'}))$ and $\tau([x, x^x_{b'})^{\pm1}] \cdot b([x, x^x_{b'})^{\pm1}] = \sigma(f^x([x, x^x_{b'})^{\pm1}])$. Hence, by the construction in 8.1.1, we get a covering map $F^x$
extending $F$. Since each $\alpha^\sharp_F([x, x_{\hat{b}'}]) = b^{-1}_x b([x, x_{\hat{b}'}]) = b^{-1}_x (b_x b') = b'$, it follows that $F^\sharp$ is the same extension of $F$ given by the construction of Lemma 6.3.

Finally, given $b \in G$ and $y \in V(\Gamma/G)$, apply the lemma to get unique $a' \in H$, $x \in V(\Gamma/H)$, $b' \in T_x$ such that $f(x) = y$ and $b = a'b_x b'$. Then define the translating elements $a(y_0^\sharp) = a([b\sigma(y), y_0^\sharp]^{\pm 1}) = a' \in H$ and $b(y_0^\sharp) = b([b\sigma(y), y_0^\sharp]^{\pm 1}) = b \in G$. Then the reader can easily verify that $z \cdot a_z = \tau(h^\sharp(z))$ and $z \cdot b_z = \sigma(g^\sharp(z))$ also hold for all new vertices and edges of $\Gamma^\sharp$. Hence, by the construction in 8.1.2, we get covering maps $H^\sharp$, $G^\sharp$ extending $H$, $G$. Furthermore, we have chosen the translating elements such that $a_z b_f(z) = b_z$ for each vertex or edge $z$ of $\Gamma^\sharp$. Therefore $F^\sharp H^\sharp = G^\sharp$.

8.1.4. Homomorphism induced by $\lambda$ and the action of $\pi(\Gamma^\sharp/G, G_\sigma, y^\sharp)$ on the fiber $g^\sharp^{-1}(y^\sharp)$. The function $\lambda: E(\Gamma^\sharp/G) \to G$ together with the inclusions $G_{\sigma(y)} \hookrightarrow G$, $y \in \Gamma^\sharp/G$, preserve the defining relations $\overline{c}ce = c^e$, $c \in E(\Gamma^\sharp/G)$, $c \in G_{\sigma(e)}$, and thus determine a homomorphism of groupoids, which we also denote by $\lambda: \pi(\Gamma^\sharp/G, G_\sigma) \to G$. For any vertex $y \in V(\Gamma^\sharp/G)$, let $\lambda_y: \pi(\Gamma^\sharp/G, G_\sigma, y) \to G$ denote the homomorphism of groups obtained by the restriction of $\lambda$.

Note that the fiber of the map $g^\sharp$ over any new vertex $y^\sharp \in V^\sharp(\Gamma/G)$ is of the form

$$g^\sharp^{-1}(y^\sharp) = \{y_0^\sharp \mid b \in G\}$$

which can be viewed as a copy of the group $G$. We make the following observations:

1. The action of $\pi(\Gamma^\sharp/G, G_\sigma, y^\sharp)$ on the fiber $g^\sharp^{-1}(y^\sharp)$ is given by the composition of $\lambda_{y^\sharp}$ followed by right multiplication in $G$; that is, for each
\([P] \in \pi(\Gamma^2/G, G_\sigma, y^\sharp)\) and \(y^\sharp_b \in g^\sharp-1(y^\sharp)\), we have that \(y^\sharp_b \cdot [P] = y^\sharp_{b'}, \) where \(b' = b\lambda_{y^\sharp}([P]).\)

(2) The homomorphism \(\lambda_y : \pi(\Gamma/G, G_\sigma, y) \to G\) is onto and \(\Gamma/G\) is connected if and only if \(\Gamma\) is connected.

To see (1), let \(P\) be a path in \((\Gamma^2/G, G_\sigma)\) whose underlying path in \(\Gamma^2/G\) is a loop at \(y^\sharp\) and let \(b \in G\). Then, by Theorem 5.5, there is a unique lift of \(P\) to a path \(\tilde{P}\) in \(\Gamma^\sharp\) with source \(y^\sharp_b\). Assume that \(\tilde{P} = e_1 e_2 \cdot \cdot \cdot e_n\) and let \(v_i = t(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})\); and note that \(v_0 = y^\sharp_{b}\) and \(v_n = y^\sharp_{b'}\) for some \(b' \in G\). Then \(y^\sharp_{b} \cdot [P] = y^\sharp_{b'}\) and

\[P = G^\sharp(\tilde{P}) = b_0 g(e_1) b_1 g(e_2) \cdot \cdot \cdot g(e_n) b_n\]

where \(b_0 = \alpha_G(e_1) = b^{-1}_{v_0} b_{e_1} = b^{-1} b_{e_1}\) as \(v_0 = y^\sharp_{b}\), \(b_n = \beta(e_n)^{-1} = \lambda(g(e_n))^{-1} b_{v_n} = \lambda(g(e_n))^{-1} b_{e_n} b_{v_n} = \lambda(g(e_n))^{-1} b_{e_n} b_{e_{i+1}}\) as \(v_n = y^\sharp_{b'}\); and for \(1 \leq i \leq n-1\), \(b_i = \beta_G(e_i)^{-1} \alpha_G(e_{i+1}) = \lambda(g(e_i))^{-1} b_{e_i} b_{e_{i+1}}\) since \(\alpha_G(e_{i+1}) = b_{v_i} b_{e_{i+1}}\) and \(\beta_G(e_i) = b_{v_i}^{-1} b_{e_i} \lambda(g(e_i))\). Thus, we see that \(\lambda_y([P]) = b_0 \lambda(g(e_1)) b_1 \lambda(g(e_2)) \cdot \cdot \cdot \lambda(g(e_n)) b_n = b^{-1} b'.\) Hence \(b' = b\lambda_{y^\sharp}([P])\) and (1) is established.

Next observe that \(\lambda_y\) is onto iff \(\lambda_{y^\sharp} : \pi(\Gamma^2/G, G_\sigma, y) \to G\) is onto; \(\Gamma\) is connected iff \(\Gamma^2\) is connected; and \(\Gamma/G\) is connected iff \(\Gamma^2/G\) is connected. Thus to prove (2), it suffices to prove the corresponding statement for the graph \(\Gamma^2\) and vertex \(y^\sharp\).

To this end, assume first that \(\lambda_{y^\sharp}\) is an onto map and that \(\Gamma^2/G\) is connected. Then it follows immediately from (1) that the fiber \(g^\sharp-1(y^\sharp)\) lies in a single component of \(\Gamma^2\). Also note that if \(P\) is a path in \((\Gamma^2/G, G_\sigma)\) from some new vertex \(z^\sharp\) to \(y^\sharp\), then there is a lift of \(P\) joining each vertex in \(g^\sharp-1(z^\sharp)\) to a vertex in \(g^\sharp-1(y^\sharp)\). Since \(\Gamma^2/G\) is connected, it follows that all new vertices of \(\Gamma^2\) lie in the same component as \(g^\sharp-1(y^\sharp)\). Since every old vertex is joined to a new vertex by an edge, we see that \(\Gamma^2\) is connected.
Conversely, assume that $\Gamma^\sharp$ is connected. Then for each $b \in G$, there is a path $p$ in $\Gamma^\sharp$ from $y^\sharp_1$ to $y^\sharp_b$. Since $g^\sharp(y^\sharp_1) = g^\sharp(y^\sharp_b) = y^\sharp$, we see that $G^\sharp([p]) \in \pi(\Gamma^\sharp/G, G_\sigma, y^\sharp)$ and $y^\sharp_1 \cdot G^\sharp([p]) = y^\sharp_b$. Thus, by (1), it follows that $b = 1 \cdot \lambda y^\sharp(G^\sharp([p]))$. Hence $\lambda y^\sharp$ is onto; and certainly the quotient graph $\Gamma^\sharp/G$ is connected.

8.1.5. $F \pi(\Gamma/H, H_\tau, x) = \lambda_{f(x)}^{-1}(H_{bx})$. First, for any $b' \in T_x$, we show that $F^\sharp \pi(\Gamma^\sharp/H, H_\tau, x^\sharp_b) = \lambda_{f(x)}^{-1}(H_{bx'})$. Let $[P] \in \pi(\Gamma^\sharp/G, G_\sigma, f(x)^\sharp)$. Since $x^\sharp_{b'}$ is in the $H$-orbit of the vertex $f(x)^\sharp_{bx'}$ in $\Gamma^\sharp$, it follows that $[P] \in F^\sharp \pi(\Gamma^\sharp/H, H_\tau, x^\sharp_{b'})$ if and only if $f(x)^\sharp_{bx'} \cdot [P]$ are in the same $H$-orbit. By 8.1.4(1), this is the case if and only if there exists $a \in H$ such that $ab \cdot x^\sharp_{bx'} = b x^\sharp_{bx'} \lambda f(x)^\sharp([P])$; or equivalently $\lambda f(x)^\sharp([P]) \in H_{bx'}$. It follows that the image of $F$ is $\lambda_{f(x)}^{-1}(H_{bx})$, as required.

Now consider the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi(\Gamma^\sharp/H, H_\tau, x^\sharp_b) & \xrightarrow{F^\sharp} & \pi(\Gamma^\sharp/G, G_\sigma, f(x)^\sharp) \\
\text{ad}[x, x^\sharp_b] & \downarrow & \lambda_{f(x)}^{-1} \downarrow \\
\pi(\Gamma/H, H_\tau, x) & \xrightarrow{F} & \pi(\Gamma/G, G_\sigma, f(x)) \\
\end{array}
\]

where we have taken $b' = 1 \in T_x$. The vertical maps are isomorphisms and we have shown that the image of $F^\sharp$ is $\lambda_{f(x)}^{-1}(H_{bx})$. It follows that the image of $F$ is $\lambda_{f(x)}^{-1}(H_{bx})$, as required.

8.1.6. If $\Gamma$ is connected, then $F : (\Gamma/H, H_\tau) \to (\Gamma/G, G_\sigma)$ is a regular covering if and only if $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$; and in this case the group of covering transformations is isomorphic to $G/H$ and the following sequence is exact for any $x \in V(\Gamma/H)$.

\[
1 \to \pi(\Gamma/H, H_\tau, x) \xrightarrow{F} \pi(\Gamma/G, G_\sigma, f(x)) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{f(x)}} G/H \to 1
\]

Here $\lambda_{f(x)}$ is the composition of $\lambda f(x)$ and the quotient map $G \to G/H$. 
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This follows immediately from Theorem 5.12, 8.1.5, 8.1.4(2), and Theorem 7.4. This completes the proof of Theorem 8.1. □

One of the main theorems of Bass-Serre Theory follows from the case when $H$ is the trivial subgroup of $G$.

**Corollary 8.3 (Main Theorem of Bass-Serre Theory).** Let $G$ be a group acting on a connected graph $\Gamma$. Then the sequence

$$1 \to \pi(\Gamma, x) \xrightarrow{G} \pi(\Gamma/G, G_{f(x)}) \xrightarrow{\lambda_f(x)} G \to 1$$

is exact for any vertex $x$ of $\Gamma$. In particular, $\Gamma$ is a tree if and only if $\lambda_{f(x)}$ is an isomorphism.

**Remark 8.4 (Uniqueness of the associated covering).** For $i = 1, 2$, let $\sigma_i$ be a section of the orbit map $g: \Gamma \to \Gamma/G$ and let $\lambda_i(e) \in G$, for all $e \in E(\Gamma/G)$, be group elements satisfying:

$$\lambda_i(\bar{e}) = \lambda_i(e)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_i(e) \cdot \lambda_i(e) = \sigma_i(\bar{e});$$

likewise, let $\tau_i$ be a section of the orbit map $h: \Gamma \to \Gamma/H$ and let $\mu_i(e) \in H$, for all $e \in E(\Gamma/H)$, be group elements satisfying:

$$\mu_i(\bar{e}) = \mu_i(e)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_i(e) \cdot \mu_i(e) = \tau_i(\bar{e}).$$

Let $F_i: (\Gamma/H, H_{\tau_i}) \to (\Gamma/G, G_{\sigma_i})$ be a covering map obtained by choosing translating elements between the sections $\tau_i$ and $\sigma_i$ using the construction of 8.1.1. Then there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(\Gamma/H, H_{\tau_1}) & \xrightarrow{M} & (\Gamma/H, H_{\tau_2}) \\
\downarrow F_1 & & \downarrow F_2 \\
(\Gamma/G, G_{\sigma_1}) & \xrightarrow{N} & (\Gamma/G, G_{\sigma_2})
\end{array}$$
where $M$ and $N$ are isomorphisms of graphs of groups.

8.2. Proof of the existence of coverings

The proof is long and involved, and thus we will again break it up into steps as we did before with the general lifting proof.

8.2.1. Define $\lambda : \pi(\Delta, B) \to \pi(\Delta, B, y_0)$. Let $(\Delta, B)$ be a graph of groups where $\Delta$ is connected. Now, we fix a vertex $y_0 \in V(\Delta)$ with the goal of defining a map

$$\lambda : \pi(\Delta, B) \to G$$

where $G = \pi(\Delta, B, y_0)$. To begin, choose a maximal tree $T$ in $\Delta$, and for each $y \in V(\Delta)$, define

$$\lambda : B_y \to G$$

by

$$\lambda(b) = p_y^{-1}b p_y,$$

where $p_y$ is the unique reduced path in $T$ from $y$ to $y_0$. Now, for each $e \in E(\Delta)$, define

$$\lambda(e) = p_{y_1}^{-1} e p_{y_2},$$

where $y_1 = s(e)$ and $y_2 = t(e)$. Then for each $e \in E(\Delta)$ and $c \in B_e$ we have that

$$\lambda(c)\lambda(e) = (p_{y_2}^{-1} e p_{y_1})(p_{y_1}^{-1} c p_{y_1})(p_{y_1}^{-1} e p_{y_2})$$

$$= p_{y_2}^{-1}(ce)p_{y_2}$$

$$= p_{y_2}^{-1} c e p_{y_2}$$

$$= \lambda(c^e).$$

Similarly, for all $y \in V(\Delta)$ and $b, b' \in B_y$,

$$\lambda(b)\lambda(b') = (p_y^{-1} b p_y)(p_y^{-1} b' p_y) = p_y^{-1} b b' p_y = \lambda(bb').$$
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Thus, a groupoid homomorphism, \( \lambda: \pi(\Delta, B) \to G \) is induced where 
\( \lambda_{y_0}: \pi(\Delta, B, y_0) \to G \) is the identity map.

**8.2.2. Construct the graph** \( \Delta \times G \). Let \( \Delta \times G \) be the graph with

\[
V(\Delta \times G) = V(\Delta) \times G \quad \text{and} \quad E(\Delta \times G) = E(\Delta) \times G.
\]

Furthermore, for \((e, g) \in E(\Delta \times G)\), define

\[
s(e, g) = (s(e), g); \quad t(e, g) = (t(e), g\lambda(e)); \quad \text{and} \quad (e, g) = (\overline{e}, g\lambda(e)).
\]

In order to see the last portion of the statement above, note that

\[
s((e, g)) = s(\overline{e}, g\lambda(e)) = (s(\overline{e}), g\lambda(e)) = (t(e), g\lambda(e)) = t(e, g), \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
t((e, g)) = t(\overline{e}, g\lambda(e)) = (t(\overline{e}), g) = (s(e), g) = s(e, g).
\]

Note also that \( G \) acts on \( \Delta \times G \) (on the left) by

\[
b' \cdot (z, b) = (z, b'b) \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in \Delta \quad \text{and} \quad b, b' \in G.
\]

**8.2.3. Define a quotient graph** \( \Gamma = \Delta \times_B G \). To begin, we say that \((z_1, b_1) \sim (z_2, b_2)\) if \( z_1 = z_2 \) and \( b_1\lambda(b) = b_2 \) for some \( b \in B_{z_i} \), where \( i = 1, 2 \). This equivalence respects the \( G \)-action. To see this, first note that \( b' \cdot (z_1, b_1) = (z_1, b'b_1) \) and \( b' \cdot (z_2, b_2) = (z_2, b'b_2) \). Thus, if \((z_1, b_1) \sim (z_2, b_2)\), then \( z_1 = z_2 = z \), say, and \( b_1\lambda(b) = b_2 \) for some \( b \in B_z \). Hence, \( b'b_1\lambda(b) = b'b_2 \) yielding that \((z_1, b'b_1) \sim (z_2, b'b_2)\). So, the action of \( G \) projects to an action on \( \Gamma \), with

\[
b' \cdot [z, b] = [z, b'b].
\]

Note then that \( \Gamma/G = \Delta \) via the identification \( G([z, b]) = z \).

Now, define a section \( \sigma \) of the orbit map \( g: \Gamma \to \Delta \) by \( \sigma(z) = [z, 1] \), where \( 1 \in G \). Thus, we have that \( G_{\sigma(z)} = \{b \in G \mid b \cdot [z, 1] = [z, 1]\} \), and

\[
b \cdot [z, 1] = [z, 1] \iff (z, b) \sim (z, 1)
\]

\[
\iff 1 \cdot \lambda(b') = b,
\]
for some $b' \in B_z$. But, this is true if and only if $b \in \lambda(B_z)$. Hence,

$$G_{\sigma(z)} = \lambda(B_z) \leq G.$$ 

Identifying $B_z$ with its image $\lambda(B_z) = G_{\sigma(z)}$ we see that

$$(\Gamma/G, G_\sigma) = (\Delta, B).$$

**8.2.4. $\Gamma$ is a tree (the Bass-Serre tree).** Since $\Delta$ is connected and $\lambda_{y_0}$ is an isomorphism (hence a surjection), by 8.1.4(2), $\Gamma$ is connected. Hence, $\Gamma$ is a tree by Corollary 8.3.

**8.2.5. Define an appropriate covering $F$: $(\Gamma/H, H_\tau) \to (\Gamma/G, G_\sigma) = (\Delta, B)$, where $H \leq \pi(\Delta, B, y_0) = G$.** To this end, let $H$ be any subgroup of $G$. We desire to define the above covering in such a way that $F\pi(\Gamma/H, H_\tau, x_0) = H$ for appropriate choice of section $\tau$ of the orbit map $h: \Gamma \to \Gamma/H$ and vertex $x_0 \in V(\Gamma/H)$ where $f(x_0) = y_0$. To begin, choose $x_0 = h([y_0, 1])$. Then, if $h: \Gamma \to \Gamma/H$ is the orbit map, we have that

$$f(x_0) = (fh)[y_0, 1] = g[y_0, 1] = y_0.$$

Now, we choose $\tau$ such that $\tau(x_0) = [y_0, 1] = \sigma(y_0)$ and take $b_{x_0} = 1 \in G$. Then,

$$\tau(x_0) \cdot b_{x_0} = \sigma(f(x_0)).$$

Hence, by 8.1.5,

$$F\pi(\Gamma/H, H_\tau, x_0) = \lambda_{f(x_0)}^{-1}(H^{b_{x_0}}) = \lambda_{y_0}^{-1}(H) = H,$$

since $\lambda_{y_0}$ is the identity.
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